BEFORE THE TEXAS
RACING COMMISSION
AUSTIN, TEXAS

COMMISSION MEETING

BE IT REMEMBERED THAT the above-titled matter came to hearing on the 14th day of June, 2016, beginning at 10:36 a.m. and adjourning at 11:21 a.m. at 105 West 15th Street, Room 120, held in Austin, Travis County, Texas, and the following proceedings were reported by APRIL C. BALCOMBE, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine.

1		APPEARANCES
2	Commissioners:	ROLANDO PABLOS
3		RONALD F. EDERER
4		MARGARET MARTIN
5		GLORIA HICKS
6		GARY P. ABER
7		ROBERT SCHMIDT
8		JOHN T. STEEN, III
9		VICTORIA NORTH
10		CYNTHIA LEON
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	Chairman Pablos: Okay. Folks, we will
3	get started. Today is Tuesday, June 14. The time is
4	10:35, and at this time I would like to call this
5	meeting with the Texas Racing Commission to order.
6	Mary, could you please call the order?
7	Ms. Welch: Commissioner Gary Aber?
8	Commissioner Aber: Here.
9	Ms. Welch: Commissioner Gloria Hicks?
10	Commissioner Hicks: Present.
11	Ms. Welch: Commissioner Cynthia Leon?
12	Commissioner Leon: Here.
13	Ms. Welch: Commissioner Margaret Martin?
14	Commissioner Victoria North?
15	Commissioner North: Here.
16	Ms. Welch: Commissioner Robert Schmidt?
17	Commissioner Schmidt: Here.
18	Ms. Welch: Commissioner Ron Steen?
19	Commissioner Steen: Here.
20	Ms. Welch: Vice-Chairman Ron Ederer?
21	Vice-Chairman Ederer: Present.
22	Ms. Welch: Chairman Rolando Pablos?
23	Chairman Pablos: Here.
24	Do we have a quorum?
25	Ms. Welch: Yes, sir.

1 Chairman Pablos: Thank you. We will move on to item II, Public 2 3 Comment. Do we have anyone signed up for public 4 comment? Ms. Bijansky: No, sir. 5 No public comment. Chairman Pablos: 6 Then we will move to item number III, 7 General Business, III (A). At this time I would like to 8 ask Adrianne Courtney to please come up. 9 Ms. Courtney: Good morning, 10 Commissioners. On pages 4 through 9 of your Agenda 11 packet, you will see the agency's fiscal year 2016 12 operating budget reflecting the revenue, collection, and 13 expenditures through April 30th. 14 With 66.6 percent of the year completed, 15 the agency has collected 5.9 million or 65 percent of 16 projected revenue, which is about \$287,500 below what 17 18 was projected, and has spent 5.2 million or 60 percent of budgeted expenditures. 19 Approximately 4.4 million of those 2.0 expenditures are appropriated expenditures, and 21 approximately .7 million are unappropriated 2.2 expenditures. 23 24 If you have any questions, I will be happy to answer them. 25

1	Chairman Pablos: Commissioners, any
2	questions?
3	No questions. Thank you very much.
4	Next, we will take up "Report on Wagering
5	Statistics."
6	Curley, please.
7	Mr. Trahan: Good morning, Commissioners.
8	On pages 10 through 12 of your meeting materials is the
9	Comparison Report on Wagering Statistics for the period
10	of January 1 through May 29 for calendar years 2015 and
11	2016.
12	For the reporting period, total wagering
13	activities at the horse racetracks increased in 2016 by
14	2.16 percent and decreased at the Greyhound racetracks
15	by 31.71 percent.
16	Overall, total wagers placed in Texas
17	decreased by 1.61 percent, while total wagers placed on
18	Texas races decreased by 6.95 percent.
19	I am happy to answer any questions you may
20	have.
21	Chairman Pablos: Commissioners, any
22	questions?
23	Vice-Chairman Ederer: The decrease in
24	Greyhounds, that's because of the closing of Gulf?
25	Mr. Trahan: Yes, sir, it is directly

attributable to the no live racing at Gulf Greyhound, which also affects their simulcast at the same time. Vice-Chairman Ederer: Sure. 3 Sure. Thank 4 you. Chairman Pablos: Any other questions, 5 Commissioners? 6 Next we will take up item 3, "Inspection 7 and Enforcement Reports, " Jim Blodgett. 8 Good morning. 9 Mr. Blodgett: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 10 Commissioners. 11 Commissioners, we currently have two 12 active race meets, the Quarter Horse running at Retama, 13 Thoroughbred running at the Lone Star Park. 14 During the reporting period since our last 15 meeting, there have been numerous enforcement activities 16 documented from the Sam Houston Race Park's meeting. 17 18 breakdown of this activity can be found in your packets 19 on page 14. Commissioners, during the same period, 2.0 inspections were conducted at Lone Star Park, Gulf 21 2.2 Greyhound Park, Sam Houston Race Park, and Gulf Coast Racing. 23 From all of these inspections, we have had 24 only one outstanding issue involving required licensing 25

fingerprint assistance from Sam Houston Race Park. This issue is anticipated to be resolved by the start of the 2. track's next live meet. 3 4 I'll be happy to answer any questions for 5 you. Chairman Pablos: Commissioners, any 6 questions? 7 Commissioner Hicks: I am just curious. 8 Chairman Pablos: Yes, Commissioner. 9 Commissioner Hicks: I can't remember. Is 10 this an increasing or a decreasing of the number of 11 inspections that we are finding? 12 Mr. Blodgett: Commissioner, we have 13 slightly decreased from years past. We've removed -- or 14 redefined when we conduct a no-notice inspection. 15 It became so routine that we thought it 16 was better just to handle that as a scheduled inspection 17 18 and then handle them as we saw problems, rather than the no-notice inspection, so it had to be redone slightly. 19 Commissioner Leon: Thank you, Jim. 2.0 Chairman Pablos: 21 Thank you. You know, Jim, that just inspired a thought; and that is, I wanted 2.2 to ask, you know, what kind of trends are you seeing 23 that might be troubling to you? 24 Are you seeing any initial trends in your 25

area that you might want to share with us, where you are 1 2. thinking about -- what you are thinking about or 3 anything like that? Mr. Blodgett: Commissioner -- or 4 Mr. Chairman, it seems like we have a repeat of the same 5 type of violations that we continue to see, which is 6 nonlicensed individuals working, the drug positives --7 they seem to be maintaining a pretty level significant amount through the meets. 9 Other than that, I feel like we've gotten 10 a little better handle on what the licensees expect from 11 us from enforcement in as far as bringing things onto 12 the track, required medications or labeled medications 13 or things like that. So I am not seeing anything that 14 is overly concerning from that question. 15 Chairman Pablos: Okay. Good to hear. 16 All right. 17 Any other questions, Commissioners? 18 19 Thank you. And by the way, for everyone who comes up 2.0 and provides us your reports, I just want to thank you. 21 I know you've spent a lot of time and effort in 2.2 preparing these reports for presentation. Even though 23 they are very quick, I want to make sure that you 24 25 understand that I appreciate your work. Thank you very

l | much.

2.0

2.2

We will move on to item 3(B), Report by
the Ad Hoc Committee on Finance. I would like to
recognize Vice-Chair Ederer.

Vice-Chairman Ederer: On May the 23rd, we had a meeting at the Greyhound track in Corpus Christi, which was a little unique there, because it is the first time I think we had a meeting there, but it was interesting and it was a very good meeting, very well attended.

All of you, Commissioners, do have a copy of the minutes, and as you can read through them, you will see that it was a pretty extensive meeting.

The bottom line to all of this is we've got a shortfall and we've got to come up with some way to take care of this shortfall. The shortfall is created by -- from the loss of Gulf and, you know, other industry cutbacks. But we do have a shortfall.

Now, ordinarily we will have \$600,000 of carryover, which does assist and guarantees that we will have sufficient funds to make it through the year.

This year, we are not going to have that \$600,000 carryover, so we are going to have to come up with something in some imaginary -- to use our imagination to come up with ways to take care of that.

1 Industry had a very good suggestion. industry is looking into a possibility of lump-sum 2 3 payments at the beginning of their designated period. That would be a big help to us. 4 They --The industry is also working with the 5 Lieutenant Governor's office in trying to come up with 6 some assistance that they can get there. 7 We do have of course the legislative 8 session coming up, and I know that our director and 9 attorney and chairman will all be working to try to come 10 up with some solutions to request some assistance from 11 the governor -- the State. 12 Primarily where they can help us there is 13 we have -- our insurance payments are tremendous, and IT 14 is tremendous. And we've got to get some assistance 15 there. 16 So everybody is working toward this goal. 17 18 Now, we have got a hiccup coming, I am afraid, if the inactive tracks -- and it looks like we might have a 19 problem with one -- that will be discussed later. 2.0 21 If we do lose revenue from any of the inactive tracks, we are going to have to revisit, and 2.2 that will cause us even more problems. 23 I did want to mention, though, that, you 24 know, when inactive tracks -- if inactive tracks do make 25

1	their contribution and make it in a lump sum, as they
2	have suggested that it's a possibility that they do,
3	even though that is not part of the criteria that would
4	be used when we are looking and reviewing their
5	application or their license as a good-faith effort, it
6	certainly can be taken into consideration.
7	And I would request, and we will certainly
8	talk about that with the Commissioners, that that is
9	something that should be taken into consideration as a
10	good-faith effort by the inactive tracks to help the
11	longevity of racing in the industry, of course.
12	Other than that, I don't have anything,
13	but I will answer any questions if I can. If not, there
14	is some people that can help answer them.
15	Chairman Pablos: Commissioner North, do
16	you have any input based on this meeting with Vice-Chair
17	Commissioner?
18	Commissioner North: Not really, sir, just
19	that our office supports seeking a legislative solution.
20	Chairman Pablos: Commissioner?
21	Commissioner Hicks: I thought it was a
22	great meeting, and I was very impressed that the
23	industry came up with some answers for us, and I
24	appreciate that.
25	Chairman Pablos: Great. Thank you-all

for attending. I have read the minutes. I appreciate
the exchange. I know there is a good amount of interest
in ensuring that everybody is very frugal. Everybody is
running on tight budgets. Everybody is heading down to
the bone, and so we just need to have a good plan moving
forward into the legislative session.

So I appreciate you, Commissioners, attending.

To the industry, I thank you for -- for your input, and I also commit to continuing to work very closely with you to find these solutions that we so desperately need.

13 Yes, Doctor.

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Commissioner Schmidt: Just one
Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank Commissioner Hicks
and Vice-Chairman Ederer of their efforts. It looked
like a very detailed and rigorous meeting.

Just looking at perspective over the past five years, I think the message needs to be that the model is broken. I think Chuck and his team has run a tight of a ship as they possibly can.

I think the tracks have been meeting their payments, but we do have sort of an Obamacare-like debt spiral. If people drop out, then the payments for the remainder survivors goes up.

1	We saw from our initial our initial
2	information that, again, betting on live Texas racing is
3	down. What is only carrying Texas racing now is the
4	simulcast. People are going to the track not to bet on
5	our horses but to bet on horses in Chicago and New York.
6	So I think we I think it's no one's
7	fault. We just need a new model. I think that needs to
8	be our message.
9	Chairman Pablos: Well, that can certainly
10	be the infrastructure that we look at whenever we are
11	approaching the leg on that.
12	I would like to recognize Commissioner
13	Martin. She has arrived.
14	Commissioner Martin: Thank you. I
15	apologize for being a little late.
16	Chairman Pablos: Thank you for making the
17	drive up. I appreciate it.
18	Are there any other comments,
19	Commissioners, on this item?
20	Again, thank you very much for all your
21	efforts.
22	We will move on to item 3, (C), Strategic
23	Plan for Fiscal Years 2017-2021.
24	I would like to recognize Chuck Trout.
25	Mr. Trout: Good morning, Commissioners.

Under Chapter 2056 of the Texas Government Code, in each even-numbered, the agency is required to provide the Governor and the Legislative Governor's Board, a five-year strategic plan.

2.0

2.2

This year the LGB made significant changes to the instructions preparing the strategic plan and the result is a much shorter and more streamlined product than what has been in the past.

Staff circulated the copies of the plan for your review and comment, and I appreciate the time you have taken to review it. We still have a few days before the June 24th deadline. And so we would like to have you continue to send in any suggestions that you may have.

However, today, I would ask that the Commission give the Chairman the authority to approve the plan we've processed -- after we have processed your feedback. We would like to be able to submit the plan next week.

We submit it electronically, so we don't have to -- as in the past, we had to print copies and then bind it and send it out, so it's a little bit faster process.

But what we would like today -- to have today is for you to vote for you-all to give the

1 chairman the authority to make the final signature actually so we can send it out. You can send us any 2 3 suggestions that you have up until that time. Chairman Pablos: So given that we still 4 have a little time, I think it's a good idea for us to, 5 you know, take a look at it more in depth. I have to 6 admit, I have glanced over it. I am fine with it, but I 7 would like to take a deeper look at it. I think it's the 24th, you said, or --9 Mr. Trout: The 24th, yes, sir, is the 10 deadline. 11 Chairman Pablos: So we still have some 12 time. So the idea would be for you-all to submit any of 13 your comments between now and then. But today I will be 14 looking for authority from you to allow me to send it in 15 with my signature in representation of the Commission. 16 Are there any comments? Discussions? 17 Commissioner Schmidt: So moved. 18 Chairman Pablos: So moved. We have a 19 motion by Commissioner Mr. Schmidt. 2.0 Vice-Chairman Ederer: Second. 21 2.2 Chairman Pablos: And then a second by Vice-Chair Ederer. 23 At this time, I would like to take up a 24 full vote. All of those in favor, please signify by 25

1 saying "aye." The Commission: 2 Aye. 3 Chairman Pablos: Any opposed? That motion carries. Thank you very much. 4 And thank you, Chuck and Mark, for your 5 time on that. 6 Okay. We will move on to item IV (A), 7 Proceedings on Racetracks, Discussion of Renewal 8 Criteria for Inactive Racetrack Licenses under 9 Commission Rule 309.52. 10 I would like Mr. Mark Fenner to lay out 11 this item, please. 12 Mr. Fenner: Yes, sir. 13 Commissioners, you may recall at the last 14 Commission meeting, we did a review and renewal of 15 several inactive licenses, and there was some discussion 16 about criteria that was used in approving the renewal of 17 18 a license and an interest in perhaps revisiting this 19 issue. Since the review and renewal begins again 2.0 immediately and they have to complete their applications 21 for renewal by the end of this month, that this gives 2.2 you an opportunity to kind of weigh them and review them 23 again. 24

25

And then if you have expectations that you

would like to communicate to these inactive licenses to include as part of their applications, this would be the opportunity to do so.

2.0

2.2

So I just want to give you a little bit of a historic perspective, and many of you have lived through this, so it will be a review and a refresher for you.

These rules about review and renewal incorporated -- the process was required and incorporated as part of the Sunset Bill, which was HB 2271 in 2011. Before that time, there was no such thing as an "active" or an "inactive" designation for licenses. Licenses were perpetual.

But during the Sunset Bill, the legislature enacted a requirement that the Commission make a distinction between "active licenses" and "inactive licenses."

And it defined them as an "active license" being one that is actually holding live racing events or is making good-faith efforts to conduct live racing.

And so this language about good-faith efforts to conduct live racing really became quite a discussion point for the Commission and a discussion point with the industry in terms of how to define that, because the act requires you to adopt the rules as guidelines for what is a

good-faith effort.

2.0

2.2

The Commission held a number of meetings,

I think six sitting Commissioners participated in

meetings with the industry to discuss those rules at the
time.

And so the rule has been adopted to say that, for purposes of this section, actions that demonstrate good-faith efforts toward conducting live racing include but are not limited to the following:

Securing sufficient financial commitments to fund the construction of the track, securing the real property through purchase or through a 20-year lease or more, entering into contracts for construction, securing Commission approval of the construction plan, securing permits and utilities, and then finally, beginning and sustaining construction.

Now, there were a number of things that the industry requested be incorporated into the rules that the Commission declined to do. These things were things such as negotiating for permits and utilities and contracts, making an application to change the location of a racetrack, making recruiting efforts for a team of capable employees, of paying the fees timely, and then conducting viability studies for either the industry or for a particular location.

I was going to say, these were all things that were requested by members of the industry. Some of these were requested by Senator Hinojosa himself who was the author of the Sunset Bill.

2.0

2.2

And the Commission, you know, made some changes to the rule in order to accommodate these requests, provided more flexibility, and one of the things that it did is it said these actions that the Commission may consider include but are not limited to, and just as Vice-Chairman Ederer had mentioned, issues such as securing or paying the fees timely is something that you have the flexibility to consider in making this determination as to whether to review or not. But it's not written down as a specific item.

Now, there are also some specific items that are grounds for nonrenewal, and those are such, for example, any ground for revocation of a license, such as not paying your annual fees, forfeiture of a bond, failure to comply with the condition or order, or failure to maintain the ownership or leasehold interest in the real property underneath the license.

These are all factors that are specifically identified in the rules, grounds for nonrenewal.

And also, finally, I will just say the

rule specifically says, the presence or absence of any
particular factor listed is not binding on the
Commission in terms of either approving or not approving
the renewal of a license.

2.0

2.2

So that's where we are, and I am happy to answer any questions. We have issues right now with things like one of the licenses not having the property underneath its license. We have an issue of nonpayment of fees, which we addressed last time with Manor Downs and which is going to come before you in a few minutes with Longhorn Downs.

But this issue of what are your expectations towards inactive licenses in terms of what good-faith efforts towards conducting live racing should they be making.

I am happy to answer any questions, if I can.

Chairman Pablos: Thank you, Mark.

Well, we certainly have been through this for many years now. I think, as Commissioner Schmidt mentioned, you know, we have got a broken model, a broken system in some places. We talked about funding earlier.

I think here, given that we have a modern-day situation with our racing industry, I

would -- I would be open to having some flexibility in looking at some of these requirements that we've laid out, but I think that the one thing that is not negotiable for me is paying fees.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

You know, perhaps looking at the issue of securing the land via, you know, may be an option or rather than having a long-term lease or something like that that gives flexibility.

But when a license holder tells us that they are not going to pay a fee, well, then, you know, to me, that's clear indication of -- of their unwillingness to move forward with that.

But, you know, I am certainly willing to revisit that, everything that you've laid out, Mark, you know, based on what the Commission decides.

But, you know, providing a certain level of flexibility is something I would like to see, but there are some things that just aren't going to be negotiable to me. There may be other Commissioners that have different viewpoints, and I would like to open it up for discussion.

Commissioner Aber: Those fees are set?
We can't reduce the fees? Has the legislation set the fees?

Mr. Fenner: The fees are set by rules, so

you can change those. 1 Commissioner Aber: You can change the 2 3 fees if you have to. Yes, I think it ought to be looked at, you know, be flexible there, too. 4 And actually, we -- they don't have to go 5 along -- I know we've always said we've got to have them 6 toward live racing. Would we be flexible with that? 7 know you said --8 9 Mr. Fenner: The statute says that good-faith efforts have to be made towards conducting 10 live racing. That's the standard set out in the 11 statute. 12 Commissioner Aber: So the way they do 13 that, we could almost postpone it a little bit or 14 whatever -- whatever they have. 15 Vice-Chairman Ederer: We have for the 16 last --17 Commissioner Aber: I know, but we will 18 run out of money if we don't do something here. 19 Vice-Chairman Ederer: Should we go ahead 2.0 and combine the next issue with that discussion at this 21 time? 2.2 Chairman Pablos: Let me hear from other 23 Commissioners first. I want to just get the rule-making 24 25 element out of the way, if that's okay with you.

Vice-Chairman Ederer: Of course.

2.0

2.2

Chairman Pablos: Are there any other comments on this particular topic?

Because, you know, many years ago I remember talking to some of these license holders, and we actually asked them at a time to give us periodic reports. And we heard about shovel, get-ready projects and dirt being turned, but really never anything that was tangible. And so, you know -- and it wasn't --

I don't think it was for a lack of trying. I think it was just the state of the industry, where we began to see that decay occur. But at the same time, you know, when these license holders applied for a license or the privilege of a license, they made a commitment to the people of the state of Texas, that if they were given a license, that they would make all the efforts to begin live racing. So there is that element as well.

Doctor?

Commissioner Schmidt: I would like to second Dr. Aber's thoughts. We don't use Polaroid cameras anymore. We take digital cameras, and I think the ground has changed, and for me, I think our problem in the state of Texas is not one of capacity.

I think the three tracks we have running

could expand their days, could expand their races,
expand their fields. And we don't need new racetracks.

2.0

2.2

We need support -- simulcast support, so to force these folks to try to have live racing onsite, I think, is just a spiral that is not going to be successful and it hasn't been successful.

And again, thinking out of the box, that their ability to conduct live racing or support live racing might be supporting the tracks that do have live racing somewhat.

Because I just think, if you look at your situation right now, the Lone Star last week, they have 9,000 seats and they have 900 people there. We don't have a capacity problem.

You know, when we were all Commissioners ten years ago, in the old days, I think Lone Star had races from Tuesday to Sunday. Now they have Thursday, and I think Friday, Saturday, Sunday, and then a concert.

So we need to somehow -- I mean, what we really need is simulcast support for live racing and just view it in some different way, at least that's my suggestion.

I think to think about it more openly because, if you really look at it, anyone can go see a

race if they want to. The problem is that not enough people are going, and the tracks have just closed down, reduced the number, reduced the number, reduced the number as much as they can.

2.0

2.2

Chairman Pablos: Any other comments?

So, Mark, what would be some next steps on this particular issue here?

Mr. Fenner: Well, at this point, the inactive tracks are completing their applications for review, and I am sure that they would be happy to hear any guidance from you, particularly. I think you have given very good guidance today about the annual fee and what your expectations on that are.

There is the issue of the land, the property underneath one of these tracks that's not owned. Is that an issue for some of you? You can't make a decision but you can talk about it. And then, if there is any changing expectations you have, this is an opportunity to say.

Otherwise, I think we just operate under the rule as it is, and they submit their application at the August meeting, and we will take it up again.

Chairman Pablos: Is this something that we want to bring to the rule-making committee for review?

1 Mr. Fenner: You certainly could if you 2 would like to have some specific changes made to it, 3 yes, sure. Chairman Pablos: Well, I am not 4 recommending to have some specific changes, but at least 5 a thorough analysis based on the state of the industry 6 today. Perhaps that's something we can at least begin a 7 discussion about. 8 Mr. Fenner: We can certainly open it at 9 our rules committee meeting for discussion and accept 10 input and feedback from the industry in terms of what 11 kinds of edits or changes they would like to see made. 12 Chairman Pablos: Commissioners, is that 13 something you are open to having? Any opposition to 14 something like that, at least to begin the discussion? 15 Commissioner Leon: Let may make a comment 16 here. 17 Chairman Pablos: 18 Yes. 19 Commissioner Leon: The report on finance and the report on rules seems to be something that is 2.0 done together because, in terms of the long-range 21 planning, the model that Dr. Schmidt is talking about, 2.2 in terms of broken, seems to dovetail together on this, 23 and that needs to be --24 Chairman Pablos: 25 I know.

1 Commissioner Leon: -- a comprehensive 2 approach on how we are going to do it, especially with 3 the legislative session coming up. Chairman Pablos: 4 Right. Commissioner Leon: It's not tweaking this 5 and tweaking that. It's sort of looking at the whole 6 general thing together. I mean, that's how I am seeing 7 it happen. You know, with the financial report, 9 that's just been a wonderful report that you-all 10 prepared, plus this rule-making. It just seems to me --11 where are we going in this whole thing? What are we 12 going to accomplish? 13 Vice-Chairman Ederer: They are becoming 14 so much intertwined. 15 Commissioner Leon: They are. Don't you 16 17 see? Vice-Chairman Ederer: Very much so, and 18 19 events are happening on a regular basis, such as we are going to take up on the very next issue. And with the 2.0 legislative session coming up, I think that -- I would 21 be, you know -- I believe that the rule committee should 2.2 look into that. 23 Commissioner Martin: Okay. So let's do 24 this, Mark. Why don't you figure out how we might be 25

able to work both the financial element and the rule-making element together. 3 Mr. Fenner: Okay. Chairman Pablos: And let me know, and 4 then I will speak to the Commissioners and members of 5 the Committee. Do we have a rule-making committee at 6 this time? 7 Mr. Fenner: We do, and it is presently 8 Commissioners Ederer, Aber, and Commissioner North. 9 Chairman Pablos: So there is some overlap 10 there? 11 Right. Generally, I prefer a 12 Mr. Fenner: committee to stay at three members. That way, they can 13 talk to you without violating open meetings, so keep it 14 all under a quorum. 15 Chairman Pablos: Excellent. 16 Commissioner Aber: I think the rules 17 18 committee, we think we can just work with it, but we have got to include all of the Commissioners and really 19 make it inclusive and come to the rules meeting, you 2.0 know, and listen to it and make sure we are all doing 21 this. 2.2 I am not particularly fond of just two or 23 three people meeting. The other ones should be 24 well-informed. 25

1 Chairman Pablos: Well, there are a couple of items there. One, we don't want to have a quorum of 2. 3 the entire Commission. Commissioner Aber: Well --4 Chairman Pablos: Secondly, the reason we 5 have small committees is so that we can have efficiency 6 you guys have figured out and then you come report to 7 So for all of these rules, there's always involvement. 9 Commissioner Aber: And it's just a 10 report, you know, so I understand. 11 Chairman Pablos: It is just a report. 12 Absolutely. So to allay your concerns, I assure you 13 that the entire Commission will be fully engaged in what 14 you-all come up with. I like that we have the overlap 15 in the two committees. I hate to add more work to 16 17 you-all. 18 But it is something that's very important, especially as we try to develop a legislative fix, as 19 Commissioner North says. So this is a perfect time in 2.0 the interim to try to come up with some ideas so that we 21 2.2 can work with the industry as the session approaches. Commissioner Leon: Because the rules are 23 based on what the legislation is, and the finances make 24 25 the proposals as to how things tweak, so --

_	Obsistant Deblast No. 11 de august
1	Chairman Pablos: No, no. It is a great
2	point, and we will take that for sure.
3	Okay. Are we all square?
4	Excellent.
5	Thank you.
6	Now we will take up item IV (B), and
7	Commissioner Ederer, the reason I wanted to take it up
8	after this is because I certainly want to ensure that we
9	listen to public input and public comment.
10	So I would like to pass it on to Mark for
11	laying out the item.
12	I don't have any cards.
13	Do we have any cards on this item at all?
14	Ms. Bijansky: No, sir.
15	Chairman Pablos: No cards?
16	Is there a representative from Longhorn
17	Downs here? There is no representative from Longhorn?
18	Mr. Fenner: No, sir. In fact, I have an
19	email I can share with you I can read to you, and I
20	believe we have given you a copy at your desk. It came
21	in at 6:00 o'clock last night.
22	Chairman Pablos: Okay. Well, Mark,
23	please lay out the item and please brief us.
24	Mr. Fenner: Yes, sir. This is a review
25	and renewal of Longhorn Downs and, as you may remember,

1 it was tabled at the last meeting until this meeting
2 because they were not currently authorized to do
3 business in Texas.

2.0

2.2

Their charter with the Secretary of State hadn't been maintained and their franchise tax account status hadn't been maintained with the comptroller's office.

Since that time, they have brought their filings up to date. They have given me a Certificate of Filing with the Secretary of State. I have checked with the comptroller's office this morning. Their franchise tax account -- account status shows they are now active and have the right to transact business in Texas. So the reason for which it has been tabled has been, I think, adequately addressed.

However, June 1 was the due date for their quarterly installment of the annual fee, which is \$57,500. We did not receive that fee. I reached out to them.

And last night at 6:00 o'clock, I got this email from Mr. Jason Blackmer, Jason Blackmer is an attorney for KTAGS/Cliff Thomas for the group that is trying to acquire it and has been paying the annual fee for the past several years.

He writes: "Recently a determination was

1	made to cease payment on the Longhorn Downs' racing
2	license as the participants in the license have
3	determined that the fee is too unreasonable to continue
4	paying.
5	"As such, we will not be making the
6	May 2016 payment and will not be making any future
7	payments. I believe we discussed this earlier today and
8	you mentioned that you had heard that" the excuse
9	me "you mentioned you had heard that may be an option
10	but had not yet received formal notice.
11	"We understand that this will require that
12	the license be sent to an administrative hearing."
13	Also, he begins the letter that,
14	"Unfortunately, I will be unable to attend tomorrow's
15	Commission's meeting in person and our normal
16	representative, Bryan Brown, is also unavailable."
17	And he offers to discuss it further. But
18	at this point, they have clearly expressed their intent
19	to not pay any more fees.
20	Chairman Pablos: Okay. Since we have no
21	one here from the organization, I will open it up for
22	discussion.
23	Commissioners?
24	Commissioner Leon: Well, how does this
2 E	affect the fairness with other organizations that are

```
paying? I guess that's my ...
1
2
                  Chairman Pablos: Or others who have paid
   who have been referred to SOAH?
3
                 Commissioner Leon: Right, exactly, so how
4
   do we treat -- the treatment of the entity? And it is
5
   just an open question.
6
                  Chairman Pablos: Okay. Anyone else care
7
   to chime in?
8
                 Vice-Chairman Ederer: No. I will make a
9
   motion.
10
                  Chairman Pablos: Okay. Let's -- do you
11
   know what? I will entertain a motion.
12
                  Vice-Chairman Ederer: I make a motion
13
   that the Texas Racing Commission refer the Longhorn
14
   Downs -- is it called Longhorn Downs; is that correct?
15
                  Mr. Fenner:
                               Yes.
16
                 Vice-Chairman Ederer: -- the Longhorn
17
   Downs' license to --
18
                  Mr. Fenner: The State Office of
19
   Administrative Hearings.
2.0
                 Vice-Chairman Ederer: -- the State Office
21
2.2
   of Administrative Hearings for potential termination.
                  Chairman Pablos: Okay. We have a motion.
23
                  Mr. Fenner: And may I clarify that?
24
                  You are referring it to SOAH for a
25
```

```
proposal for a decision as to whether or not to renew
1
   the license?
3
                  Vice-Chairman Ederer: Yes, that's the
   motion.
4
                  Thank you, Counsel.
5
                  Chairman Pablos: Thank you for clarifying
6
   that.
7
                  Is there a second?
8
                  Commissioner Hicks: I will second.
9
                  Chairman Pablos: Seconded by Hicks.
10
                  Okay. So any further discussion on this
11
   item?
12
                  Commissioner Schmidt: Just one question.
13
   I agree with the Chairman, that every licensee has to
14
              Where I get a little heartburn about this is,
15
   pay fees.
    in reading through all of the information is: Has this
16
   point established that Longhorn Downs has the license?
17
18
                  Mr. Fenner: Well, Longhorn Downs has the
   license, absolutely.
19
                  Commissioner Schmidt: Is that recognized
2.0
   by the Court?
21
                  Mr. Fenner: Yes. However, the issue is
2.2
   not whether Longhorn Downs has the license. It's who
23
   has the stock of Longhorn Downs.
24
                  Commissioner Schmidt:
                                         The ownership stock
25
```

is in escrow, correct?

2.0

2.2

Mr. Fenner: Longhorn Downs' license is in escrow. The question is really: Does the stock belong to the Austin Jockey Club or to KTAGS?

Commissioner Schmidt: Right. I guess that's where I have some heartburn, is that this is not just a licensee who is stating they aren't going to pay. This is a licensee that does not really have title to their track.

Mr. Fenner: That is true. The litigation over the ownership of Longhorn Downs' license has been ongoing ever since the Commission rejected the application of Dallas City Limits several years ago, and then KTAGS subsequently came -- or Austin Jockey Club requested approval to transfer the license to KTAGS, and that request by Austin Jockey Club was approved.

Litigation ensued, and I believe it's currently pending before the Texas Supreme Court and has been for quite some time.

Chairman Pablos: But in either case, there is no payment forthcoming from anyone, correct, whether it is this licensee or anyone else? So this agency does not have a payment on a license, and that's why I think Commissioner Ederer is moving to recommend it to SOAH for an Administrative Hearing.

1	I will tell you that I am very
2	disappointed that we don't have anyone here from from
3	whomever we are talking about here, in this case,
4	Mr. Jason Blackmer or Bryan Brown. If this was
5	important to them, I think they would have been here, so
6	they are sending me a message I am only speaking for
7	myself that this isn't important to them.
8	So I would like to bring this up for a
9	vote. We have a motion. We have a second.
10	All of those in favor, please signify by
11	saying "aye."
12	The Commission: Aye.
13	Chairman Pablos: Any opposed?
14	That motion carries.
15	We will take up number V, Proceedings On
16	Occupational Licenses, $V(A)$, The Proposal for Decision
17	in SOAH Case Number 476-16-1728; In Re: The Appeal of
18	Edward Paul Webb from the Stewards' ruling Number
19	RETA2526.
20	Ms. Bijansky: Mr. Chairman.
21	Chairman Pablos: Yes.
22	Ms. Bijansky: Mr. Chairman,
23	Commissioners, good morning. I am here to present for
24	final action a disciplinary case against Edward Webb, a
25	trainer whose horse tested positive for Firocoxib, a

Class 4 -- a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug
following a race at Retama Park on September 5th of last
year.

I have given you a handout as a quick refresher on the disciplinary process, but if you have any questions, please stop me at any time.

2.0

2.2

In this case, after the race, the Texas

A&M lab found Firocoxib in the sample, so Mr. Webb was

given the opportunity to have the split sample re-tested

at a second lab. He declined to do so, so the Stewards

gave him a hearing and issued a ruling that is on page

32 of your materials.

He appealed, so we gave him a hearing before the State Office of Administrative Hearings, and that brought us to today.

Mr. Webb doesn't seem to be here, and I don't think anyone is here on his behalf. But in short, his position both before the Stewards and of SOAH was that Firocoxib is basically just like Bute and so it should be penalized just like Bute.

Under the Agency's medication guidelines though, the recommended penalty for a Firocoxib overage is a 15-day suspension, 500-dollar fine, and loss of purse; and that's what the Stewards did.

They conducted a full hearing. They

determined that the recommended penalties did apply, that there were no extenuating circumstances that warranted a lesser or a greater penalty. 3 And so we reviewed the case and agreed. 4 Mr. Webb had an opportunity to file what 5 are called "exceptions," basically an appeal to certain 6 aspects of a proposal for the decision, but he didn't do 7 that. 8 These matters are before you today for 9 final action, and there is a draft order in your 10 materials beginning on page 55, which adopts the 11 Stewards' ruling in full. 12 The order provides for a 15-day 13 suspension, which Mr. Webb has already served, a 14 500-dollar fine, which he has paid, and disqualification 15 and redistribution of the purse. 16 And I have a clean copy of the order here 17 18 that I am going to -- if you approve, I am going to make 19 sure that you-all sign before you leave. So I would ask that you adopt the 2.0 Steward's ruling in full, and I am happy to answer any 21 2.2 questions that you may have. Chairman Pablos: Commissioners, is there 23 any questions? 24 25 Thank you very much.

Okay. So is there anyone here --1 2. Thank you. 3 Is there anyone here -- is Mr. Webb or anyone representing Mr. Webb here? 4 Okay. 5 Then I will ask for a motion to affirm the 6 Stewards' ruling. Is there a motion? 7 Motion by Steen and seconded by Martin. 8 Any further discussion on this? 9 Commissioner Schmidt: Just one comment. 10 Chairman Pablos: Yes, you may. 11 Commissioner Schmidt: I think it's an 12 unfortunate circumstance. I think the trainer probably 13 had the best intentions of the horse. Horses have 14 ulcers, I think the medicine is indicated. But I think 15 we can't as a Commission use as an excuse of bad advice 16 and we set a precedent that way. 17 I think this trainer was very 18 well-intended, and I have had horses with ulcers and I 19 have used Firocoxib as well. But that said, his horse 2.0 tested positive, and we can't set a precedent. And I 21 think that needs to be the message. 2.2 I will also just correct one thing in the 23 information we received. I guess it was inferred 24 somewhere that perhaps a vet who uses videos with 25

```
English horses, hunters, jumpers, and corsage, that
   there is different criteria. The criteria is different.
2.
3
   It is zero tolerance at the Firocoxib level, not
4
    20-nanograms but zero nanograms, so I fully support the
   Stewards' decision.
5
                  Chairman Pablos: And I agree with you.
6
                  Okay. All of those in favor, please
7
   signify by saying "aye."
8
                  The Commission:
9
                                   Ave.
                  Chairman Pablos: Anybody oppose?
10
                  That motion carries.
11
                  Thank you very much.
12
                  Ms. Bijansky: Thank you.
13
                  Chairman Pablos:
14
                                    The next item VI (A),
   Proposal to Amend Rule 319.110, Health Certificate. I
15
   would like to recognize Mr. Mark Fenner.
16
                  Mr. Fenner: Yes, sir, we just have one
17
18
   rule amendment to bring to you today. This was a
   requested change to address inconsistencies between the
19
   current Racing Commission rule on health certificates
2.0
   and the requirements to enter the Racing Association
21
   grounds and the difference with the Animal Health
2.2
   Commission.
23
                  Our rule currently says -- it was
24
   consistent with Animal Health Commission rules when it
25
```

was initially adopted -- says a health certificate must be issued within 45 days prior to the time they enter the Association grounds.

2.0

2.2

However, the Animal Health Commission subsequently changed it to 30 days, and this has created some confusion for our rule, and their rule is different.

We recognize that the Animal Health
Commission is the agency primarily responsible for
safeguarding the health of the livestock in this state.

And so what this proposal would do would be, rather than track exactly the language that the Animal Health Commission has and modify it each time they make a change, just to incorporate their standards by reference to. Instead of saying "45" or "30 days," just to say that, "It must meet any health inspection requirements established by the Animal Health Commission."

That way, they make a change, it's implemented with the racetracks automatically. They go through the full rule-making process just like we do. So if anybody has any concerns about what the rules are or should be, they can participate in the Animal Health Commission's rule development process just as they do here.

1	Are there any questions? I will be happy
2	to answer them. Dr. James is here as well if you have
3	any technical questions.
4	Chairman Pablos: Commissioners, are there
5	any questions? Is anybody signed up to speak on this?
6	Ms. Bijansky: No, sir.
7	Chairman Pablos: Dr. Aber, do you have
8	anything to add?
9	Commissioner Aber: Well, I just think we
10	have to do that. The Texas Animal Health Commission has
11	really got the knowledge and everything else to do this.
12	So, yes, I think we should, for sure.
13	Chairman Pablos: Any other comments?
14	At this time I would like to entertain a
15	motion to publish. Is there a motion?
16	Vice-Chairman Ederer: I would like to
17	move.
18	Chairman Pablos: Commissioner Ederer and
19	seconded by Dr. Schmidt.
20	Any discussion?
21	I will take it up for a vote.
22	All those in favor, please signify by
23	saying "aye."
24	The Commission: Aye.
25	Chairman Pablos: Any oppose?

1	That motion carries.
2	Having no further items and no executive
3	session today, I would like to adjourn this meeting of
4	the Texas Racing Commission. The time is 11:21.
5	Thank you.
6	(Meeting adjourned at 11:21 a.m.).
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
∕ ∙⊃	1

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 THE STATE OF TEXAS 3 COUNTY OF TRAVIS 4 5 I, APRIL C. BALCOMBE, a Certified Shorthand Reporter 6 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that 7 the above-captioned matter came on to hearing before the 8 TEXAS RACING COMMISSION as hereinbefore set out. 9 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the proceedings of 10 said hearing were reported by me, accurately reduced to 11 typewriting under my supervision and control and, after 12 being so reduced, were filed with the TEXAS RACING 13 14 COMMISSION. GIVEN UNDER MY OFFICIAL HAND OF OFFICE at 15 Austin, Texas, the 28th day of June, 2016. 16 17 18 19 April Balcombe, CSR, CRR, CRC Texas CSR 5752 20 Expiration Date: 12/31/17 2.1 Kennedy Reporting Service, Inc. Firm Registration No. 276 2.2 555 Round Rock West Drive Building E, Suite 202 Round Rock, Texas 78681 23 Phone: 512.474.2233 Fax: 512.474.6704 24 25