TEXAS RACING COMMISSION

MEETING

10:36 a.m.
Wednesday,
September 20, 2017

John H. Reagan Building Room JHR 140 105 W. 15th Street Austin, Texas

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

JOHN T. STEEN III, Chairman RONALD F. EDERER, Vice Chair MARGARET MARTIN STEVEN P. MACH SID MILLER

NOT PRESENT:

GLORIA HICKS ROBERT SCHMIDT, M.D.

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM		PAGE
I.	CALL TO ORDER	4
II.	PUBLIC COMMENT	5
III.	GENERAL BUSINESS Discussion and consideration of the following matters:	
	Reports by the Executive Director and Staff regarding Administrative Matters A. Budget and Finance Update B. Report on Wagering Statistics C. Enforcement Report	5 7 8
IV.	PROCEEDINGS ON MATTERS RELATED TO AGENCY FUNDING The Commission will discuss methods of addressing agency funding for Fiscal Years 2018 and 2019. The discussion may include the delegation by the Chairman of related matters to the Ad Hoc Committee on Finance for further consideration. The Commission will also discuss, consider and possibly take action on the following matters:	10
	Rule Proposals. If approved by the Commission, these rule proposals will be published in the Texas Register for public comment. A. Proposal of Amendment to Rule 309.8, Racetrack License Fees B. Proposal of Amendment to Rule 311.5, License Categories and Fees	58
V. PRO	DCEEDINGS ON RACETRACKS Discussion, consideration and action on the following matters: A. Action on Applications by Inactive Racetrack License Holders for License Renewal under Commission Rule 309.52 1) Manor Downs 2) Laredo Downs	61
	3) Valle de los Tesoros B. Request by Retama Park to Amend its 2017 Live Racing Schedule	74
	C. Designation by the Commission of an Application Period for Race Dates under Commission Rule 303.41	83

VI. PI	ROCEEDINGS ON DRUG TESTING MATTERS Discussion, consideration and possible action on the following matter: Approval of Memorandum of Understanding with Texas Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory for Fiscal Year 2018	
VII.	EXECUTIVE SESSION	9
VIII.	SCHEDULING OF NEXT COMMISSION MEETING	86
IX.	ADJOURN	87

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Good morning. The time is 3 10:36 a.m. At this time I would like to call today's 4 meeting of the Texas Racing Commission to order. 5 Jean, could you please call the roll. 6 MS. COOK: Yes, sir. 7 Commissioner Gloria Hicks? 8 (No response.) 9 MS. COOK: Commissioner Steven Mach? COMMISSIONER MACH: Here. 10 11 MS. COOK: Commissioner Margaret Martin? COMMISSIONER MARTIN: Present. 12 13 MS. COOK: Commissioner Sid Miller? 14 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Here. 15 MS. COOK: Commissioner Robert Schmidt? 16 (No response.) 17 MS. COOK: Vice Chair Ron Ederer? COMMISSIONER EDERER: Present. 18 MS. COOK: And Chairman John Steen. 19 20 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Here. 21 Do we have a quorum? 22 MS. COOK: Yes, sir. 23 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you. I would first like 24 to take a moment to introduce Commissioner Sid Miller and 25 welcome him to the Commission. Commissioner, we look

1 forward to your contributions, given your expertise in 2. this area. 3 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you, and it is good 4 to be with you. And I am the commissioner with the Department of Agriculture, and I did not think I was going 5 6 to be able to make this meeting. I have had a double knee 7 replacement. I got to looking at the agenda and I thought, You know, I had better go; there might not be 8 9 another meeting, so I decided I would show up today. 10 (Laughter.) 11 CHAIRMAN STEEN: We are happy you are here. 12 COMMISSIONER MILLER: So I am glad I am here. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay. We will move on to 15 Item II, Public Comment. Devon, has anyone signed up? 16 17 MS. BIJANSKY: No. 18 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay, then moving on to Three, General Business, the next item is Agenda Item III(A), 19 20 Budget and Finance Update. Adrianne Courtney, would you give us the 21 22 update, please. 23 MS. COURTNEY: Good morning, Commissioners. 24 pages 4 through 8 of your agenda packet is the Agency's 25

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

fiscal year 2017 operating budget, reflecting revenue

collected and expenditures as of July 31. It does not go through August as we were still in the process of closing out 2017.

2.5

As we have discussed for several months, the Agency faces a serious revenue shortfall. In September the Agency collected approximately \$384,000 in fees from five racetracks. But five other racetracks refused to pay their fees. These nonpaying tracks are Gulf Greyhound Park, Gulf Coast Racing, Laredo Downs, Valle de los Tesoros, and Gillespie County Fair.

The nonpayments represent \$180,000 in missing revenue, and if these tracks continue withholding payments, the Agency will run out of money by the end of October or soon thereafter. The Agency will then have to stop operations because there will not be any money to pay employees or any of its obligations.

There is no other revenue available under the current rules that would address this shortfall. I understand that the racetracks have proposed rule changes that would help to address this issue, and these proposals are listed later in the agenda. If you have any questions about the budget, I would be happy to answer them now or later on in the meeting.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: If we run out of money and we close our doors, racing ceases in the state of

1 Texas. Is that correct? 2 MS. COURTNEY: Yes. That is correct. 3 COMMISSIONER EDERER: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Commissioners, any other 5 questions? 6 (No response.) 7 Thank you, Adrianne. CHAIRMAN STEEN: The next item is Agenda Item III(B), report on 8 9 wagering statistics. Curley Trahan. MR. TRAHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 morning, Commissioners. In your meeting materials on 11 12 pages 10 through 12 is the comparison report on wagering 13 statistics for the period of January 1 through September 3 14 for calendar years 2016 and 2017. 1.5 For the reporting period, total wagering 16 activities at the greyhound racetracks showed a decrease 17 of 10.68 percent from 2016. Total wagering activities at 18 the horse racetracks showed a decrease of 2.64 percent for 19 the same period. Overall total wagers placed in Texas 20 have decreased by 6.36 percent while total wagers placed on Texas races reflects an increase of 2.53 percent. 21 22 I would be happy to answer any questions. 23 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Commissioners, do you have any 24 questions?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

COMMISSIONER MACH: What do you attribute the

2.5

decreases to?

2.5

MR. TRAHAN: Overall, the trend across the United States tends to be lower, although I cannot say with certainty the exact causes; there could be several contributing factors.

First, last year was a leap year so there is one extra day in the simulcast wagering for each of the tracks in 2016; secondly the recent events surrounding Hurricane Harvey and the subsequent torrential rains resulted in four tracks closing anywhere from one day to one week. Inclement weather also resulted in Gillespie having to cancel their last live race day of their eightday meet.

While these things do not fully account for the decreases, they do have a significant effect on the total overall handle. You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: There is also the greyhounds not running in Houston.

MR. TRAHAN: Well, these figures only go through September 3, so the closings were done due to the hurricane, but up to that point.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Commissioners, any other questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you, Curley.

1 MR. TRAHAN: Thank you. 2 The next item is Agenda Item III(C), 3 Enforcement Report, Jim Blodgett. 4 MR. BLODGETT: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, 5 good morning. Commissioners, you will find your 6 Enforcement Report in your materials on pages 13 and 14. 7 Commissioners, Retama Park concluded its summer meet on August 12, 2017. This is the county fair's eight-8 9 day meet; it was cut short this year by one day, which was 10 Sunday the 27th, due to inclement weather. Lone Star Park 11 and Retama Park are currently conducting live race meets. 12 Commissioners, the completed steward's ruling 13 report from Retama Park provided in your material includes 14 a wide variety of infractions. The largest number of 15 completed rulings by our Steward Board were medicationpositives and trainer infractions. The drug clenbuterol 16 17 was by far the most common drug-positive identified in this meet. 18 19 Completed steward's rulings for Gillespie 20 County Fair meet totaled a total of 14, which included one medication-positive for clenbuterol at the time of this 21 22 report. I would be happy to answer any questions that you

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Commissioners, any questions?
(No response.)

might have.

23

24

2.5

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you, Jim.

We are now going to take Item Number VII,

Executive Session, out of order. The Commission will now
enter an Executive Session under Government Code

Section 551.071 (1) and (2), to seek the advice of its
attorney regarding pending or contemplated litigation, to
consider a settlement offer, and to seek the advice of its
attorney as privileged communications under the

Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.

In this case, the litigation is a suit filed against the Commission by Laredo Downs, Valle de los Tesoros, Gulf Coast Racing, Gulf Greyhound Park, and Gillespie County Fair. The time is now 10:43.

(The Commission met in Executive Session at 10:43 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN STEEN: The Commission is now reconvening after concluding its Executive Session under Government Code Section 551.071 (1) and (2), the time is now 11:10. We have made no decisions following Executive Session and take no action at this time.

Let us move on to Item IV, Funding Issues including potential rule proposals.

Mark, will you lay out these items, please.

MR. FENNER: Yes, sir. Commissioners, as Adrianne described earlier during the budget portion of

the meeting, the Agency is facing a budget shortfall that jeopardizes its ability to continue operations. The Agency lost \$690,000 in annual revenue last year due to the revocation of three Class 2 horse racetrack licenses and, as you know, five additional racetracks have refused to pay their annual fees.

And this is an additional annual loss of \$1.25 million a year. And unless these issues are addressed promptly, the Agency will soon be unable to pay Staff or continue operations past October.

Now the ad hoc committee on finance met in June and July on this issue and rule proposals were considered by the full Commission in June and in August. But none of these previous proposals gathered consensus from either the industry or Commission support.

But you will find in your materials on pages 18 and 19 a proposal from nine of the ten licensed racetracks -- this includes both those who are continuing to pay and those who are not -- that addresses the shortfall. And it does it by doing the following:

First, it reduces the base number of live race days for horse tracks from 143 days to 68 days, and projects that the horse tracks will conduct a total of 143 days of racing instead of what they are doing this year, which is 182. The total number of race days though is

subject to negotiation, between the tracks and the horsemen.

2.

The proposal increases the daily fee for live race dates beyond the base from \$3,750 to \$6,313. The proposal that they have submitted also redistributes the annual fees among the tracks by increasing the fees on the Class 1 horse tracks, and decreasing the fees on all of the other classes of racetracks.

The proposal calls for additional revenue shortfalls to be met through increases on occupational licenses, license fees. Staff projects that occupational license fees would have to increase by 20 percent across the board to meet that need, and that is assuming that the total number of occupational license fees remains constant.

The proposal calls for the Agency to make further cuts in the current biennium, and it calls for us to secure a third party review of operations with industry input, and they propose that they might be willing to pay for that review through additional fees if necessary and agreed to.

And finally it calls for all of the racetracks including the five tracks that are currently withholding payments to begin monthly payments under the proposed fee schedule by September 22, 2017. This would allow the

Commission to continue operations into calendar year 2018 and depending on the number of occupational licenses issued and whether the Agency is able to achieve further budget reductions, possibly through the remainder of the biennium.

2.5

The proposal has the support of nine of the ten licensed racetracks, and is missing the concurrence of only Lone Star Park. I have not been advised yet by the horsemen of their position on this, though. If you have any questions I would be happy to try to answer them or perhaps some of the racetracks could answer these as well.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Mark, is there anything in this proposal that limits the number of possible race days?

MR. FENNER: No, sir. It does establish the base but the number beyond the base is up to negotiation.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: And besides the ones that we have reviewed, have any other proposals been put forth by the industry?

MR. FENNER: Not by the industry.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay. I would like to recognize Joel Speight to come up and just review, I know this has been several months, but the Agency's efforts to reduce costs to date, to where we are right now.

MR. SPEIGHT: Good morning, Commissioners. TRC

has initiated aggressive cost reduction actions to address the \$690,000 in lost revenues that --

COMMISSIONER MACH: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MACH: Could you get him to repeat his name and what his position is, please.

MR. SPEIGHT: Joel Speight, Deputy Executive Director, Texas Racing Commission.

TRC has issued aggressive cost reduction actions to address the \$690 in lost revenue from three racetracks' revoked licenses in fiscal year 2015. In fiscal year 2016 and '17, TRC reduced the Agency's operating budget by \$372,000, and reduced the staff by 4.8 full-time equivalents.

In this past August 2017, TRC implemented plans that will result in an additional \$231,000 and \$261,000 budget cuts for fiscal years 2018 and 2019, respectively. These plans will also reduce staff an additional 2.75 FTEs. In total, TRC has taken actions to reduce the operating budget by \$633,000, and staff by 7.5 FTEs, over a four-year period.

This four-year budget reduction does not cover the \$690,000 loss in revenue TRC experienced in 2015. TRC is continuing to explore ways to further reduce its operating budget to manage the deficit between revenue

collected and operating expenses that has existed since 2014.

2.

2.5

correct?

A growing TRC expense that is counterproductive to the Agency's cost savings effort is the monthly bill charges for permanent annual lifetime health insurance premiums for 46 retired individuals. TRC is paying for lifetime annual health insurance premiums for individuals who retired as early as 1989, 28 years.

This is a \$397,000 annual, nonnegotiable financial obligation. Currently there are an additional eight staff members who are retirement-eligible. If these individuals exercise their option to retire, TRC's lifetime health insurance premiums cost could escalate to \$456,000 for 54 retired individuals.

That concludes my --

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions, Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER EDERER: I have a question, I

think it would be proper to give it to you. At the

present time, I see that Lone Star Park and Retama Park

are overlapping. Have you thought about or discussed with

the industry the possibility of not allowing any

overlapping. It is my understanding that overlapping

MR. SPEIGHT: Yes, Commissioner. It is. And

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

doubles your costs during those particular meets. Is that

so what we are hoping comes in on the 24th of October is sequential racing versus in parallel. Because if we have three tracks going at once, with the reductions we have taken, we do not have any surge capability and I do not even know if we have enough staff to cover all of those meets.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: All right. So in the future if race dates given to the tracks would not overlap --

MR. SPEIGHT: It would help tremendously.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you, Joel.

I would like to recognize Andrea Young, representing Sam Houston Race Park, Valley Race Park, and Manor Downs.

MS. YOUNG: Good morning, Commissioners. Good morning Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much for calling me up first today, on this delightful matter that we all get to discuss.

Look, this is not a new matter for anyone except our new Commissioner here, Agricultural Commissioner Miller.

This agency has been operating at a partial deficit for some time. We have known that; it has been exacerbated as where it is already laid out in the opening

comments when three of the licenses last year determined to -- they were worth nothing and so they surrendered them back to the Commission.

2.5

I think the industry at large, the one thing that we can mostly all agree on is that we think there is additional opportunity at the Agency. Joel did talk about some of the work that has been done. But we think that there is a lot more work that can be done.

The proposal that nine of the ten racetracks have come to support, I think you could call it a bad proposal, you could call it a good proposal. The reality is, it is the only proposal.

We are, as our licenses representing Sam
Houston Race Park being the most significant of the three,
the reason, single reason that we were willing to support
this proposal and sign on to the agreement is because of
the commitment that we feel like we have received from the
Commission to continue to look at ways to reduce operating
expenses. It is our view -- for many of us, can't say
everyone -- but then rather than saying, Hey, we have got
50 employees today and let us peel back one here and one
there, let us go back and start at the beginning and say,
Hey, here are the three positions mandated from the Racing
Act, and let us build it back up for today.

Because I feel like, our feeling is that, you

know, this current agency is designed largely around an industry that existed ten years ago, and that is not what is here today.

And so that commitment is important. There are some that I think you will hear from today that will say that lacks teeth; personally I don't think it lacks teeth. Because the reality is as the Commission has just witnessed, if folks aren't happy with the way it is going, people will just stop paying their license fees. And so it has the teeth because we are all committed to doing it together.

The kind of ugly, really part of the proposal is the significant increase on the Class One tracks in Houston, Retama, Lone Star. But the alternative, at least for Sam Houston I can say is that if \$1.25 million went away from the current system, that that increase on the Class Ones would be far greater. And for us, that was not something that we were willing to take on at this time.

I think there is also some focus on what does this mean for race dates for the horsemen. And I think the horsemen have a genuine and right concern about that. The current fee structure for Class One racetracks is a base fee plus a certain number of dates, 45. So that model has existed for some time. The new proposed rule is a higher base fee and fewer race dates. So obviously we

have heard from a lot of horsemen that they are concerned about that.

2.

2.5

I think the most logical next step, kind of, is that the industry has to get together and determine really what are those race dates going to look like. On Sam Houston's part I can say that, without a doubt we are not going to run the minimum race dates. We have already been granted 32 race dates for next year. We are going to run those 32 dates and then we are going to come in after this meeting and we are going to apply for a quarter horse meet, and so we expect we will run close to 50 dates. Is that less than the 56 this year, yes. Okay, but it is not 20 either.

Finally I want to say that I wish every single track was able to sign on to this proposal, but nine out of ten is still an A when my kids bring home a test. And so it's an ugly A, I do not like it. I do not like being here, but we do look forward to working with the Commission diligently and we will be here at every meeting following up on how do we kind of reduce the burden to all of the stakeholders in the industry going forward.

I am happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions?

COMMISSIONER EDERER: Andrea, you said that

COMMISSIONER EDERER. Midled, you said the

nine out of ten has signed up. 1 MS. YOUNG: That is correct. 2 3 COMMISSIONER EDERER: The tenth is Lone Star. 4 Their fee for '18 is \$700,000. 5 MS. YOUNG: Correct. 6 COMMISSIONER EDERER: It is my understanding 7 they have agreed to pay that. They are not agreeing to 8 pay the increase. Is that correct? 9 MS. YOUNG: Their current fee is \$500,000, plus whatever --10 COMMISSIONER EDERER: Oh, I'm sorry. 11 12 MS. YOUNG: -- they pay above. So I think they 13 probably paid, you will have to ask them, in excess of 14 about \$650 or more. But they are here and I am sure they could answer the question better than me. 15 COMMISSIONER EDERER: I understand that but my 16 17 question to you is, are the other nine license holders 18 willing to pick up the slack that Lone Star is dumping on 19 you? 20 MS. YOUNG: No. So I -- the rules proposal is 21 going to impact everyone. Whatever you guys pass here 22 today is going to impact all the Class Ones. So we are

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

not -- we do not want to pick up anyone's slack at all,

which is largely the reason why we are here, and we did

not want to end up being in a position where there were

23

24

25

only five stakeholders, or maybe even three left. Because with just three Class One tracks in the Agency's current budget, that is not sustainable for Sam Houston Race Park. It may be sustainable for Lone Star, but it is not for us.

Any other questions?

(No response.)

2.5

MS. YOUNG: Can I go?

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Yes.

MS. YOUNG: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: I would like to call next Bill Belcher representing Retama Park. He is for the proposal.

MR. BELCHER: Good morning. Bill Belcher from Retama Park. I just wanted to say thank you for allowing me to come up and talk about it.

Much to what Andrea said is, this is a proposal that we feel is the best compromise to buy us time to get where we need to be with the overall fees. So we do not like it any more than anybody else for our fees to go up that much. However, we feel it is good for the industry as a whole to buy us some time, and that is the way we looked at it, and that is why I signed it, because we agree with it and we just need to continue to dive deep and see where we can make it better.

So, she said all of the detailed stuff and I

just wanted to come up and say that we go along with it, we are in. We are trying to make the industry better and that is where we would like to go. And the 20-day thing, we are going to run more than 20 days too. We do not have a determination yet.

We are running live right now with

Thoroughbreds, so we will -- our best guess estimate is

32-ish to 36-ish days. Once we get through today when we know where we are going, we will line all that out.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions of Mr. Belcher?

COMMISSIONER EDERER: How is the meet going?

MR. BELCHER: This last weekend was much better than the first couple of weeks, so it is going okay.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you.

MR. BELCHER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: I would like to call up Scott Wells next, representing Lone Star Park.

MR. WELLS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. By the way Lone Star Park did have a successful spring season, we were up 4 percent in live handle and 16 percent in our export, due in large part to an investment we made in trying to get our signal out there to a broader audience. So we are happy with the progress we have been able to make under difficult circumstances.

However, we cannot support this agreement. We feel that it unfairly penalizes the tracks that have been conducting live racing, in our case for 20 years, and actually benefits those who have speculated but have not been conducting live racing.

So I do not give it an A, I give it a failing grade. We think it is highly inequitable, and just to make it clear, Lone Star does not plan to dump our increases or expenses on anybody.

When asked by the Commission recently to sort of pay forward, we have done that promptly. We are going to pay whatever fee this Commission decides we should pay two days from now. But we are not going to continue to support those who are having a decreased scenario whereas we are expecting a big increase.

I am very eager to hear what the horsemen have to say. Of course they are our partners. And Lone Star was built as a pinnacle to racing in this state, and we are going to do everything we can to maintain that position.

And I realize this Commission is put in a very, very difficult situation. You know, what is important is what is next, what is important now. We are not holding any grudges on anybody, but we say, what is important now, and we have to draw the line somewhere, and we expect to

1 do that as the situation clarifies itself. 2 Any questions? 3 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions for Mr. Wells? 4 COMMISSIONER MACH: If the proposal passes, what is your position on the fees moving forward? 5 MR. WELLS: We will do whatever this Commission 6 7 prescribes, because we operate at the pleasure of this Commission. Now, what our day scenario will be, I can 8 9 tell you it will drastically impact the Texas horse 10 industry. It will cause a reduction of staff among my 11 team of people who have worked hard for 20 years to run 12 the most efficient operation possible, while at the same 13 time running a first class operation. 14 So I, as a former horseman myself, have it deep 15 in my heart that horsemen and people who have worked hard to support the industry in an active racing program are 16 17 going to suffer greatly. 18 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you. 19 MR. WELLS: As again, we stand willing to act in accord with whatever the Commission decides as regard 20 21 to fees. 22 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you, Mr. Wells. I will 23 call next Marsha Rountree representing the Texas 24 Horsemen's Partnership. 2.5

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MS. ROUNTREE: Just had to do that, didn't you?

Make me go first.

1.5

2.5

(Laughter.)

Commissioner Miller, my condolences. Welcome to the fray.

Good morning Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, and my name is Marsha Rountree, and I am Executive

Director of the Texas Horsemen's Partnership. The members of our organization are the men and the women of the industry first, who is the horsemen. The men and the women who pay the bills, do the work and actually put on the performance that is called, live horse racing.

It is the reason this Agency exists, and it is why we have racetracks in Texas. Without these horsemen there would be none of these jobs for anyone.

Andrea did testify earlier that they were not interested in picking up the slack for anyone. But in this proposal that is being discussed, the three Class One tracks are picking up the slack for something that I am going to object to now.

Mark and others are referring to this as nine out of ten racetracks have signed this agreement. That is not accurate. Some of them are pieces of paper. The only thing they contribute to the racing economy is their annual license fees.

And now those pieces of paper, because they are

sued, this Commission have put the rest of us in a position to have to negotiate an agreement like this. And Mr. Wells testified that the tracks that have been supporting the racing economy for well over 20 years have stood by us; they have walked hand in hand with us. They are being penalized now and their fees are being increased substantially, while the fees on the licenses that have done nothing for this industry are being reduced by half. And I feel that's completely inequitable.

So the horsemen are the ones that put on the show, and yet it seems that we were completely left out of the dialogue and the decision making that resulted in a proposal that is being presented to you today. And that was the wrong approach.

I don't really have much of anything new to say that I have not already said to you at the ad hoc finance committee meeting or at the last meeting of this

Commission. You have heard it all before. But I can assure you that the proposal that was submitted to you by some of the track license holders will be the final nail in the coffin for the horsemen that do still race here.

The enormous reduction in race dates, even if the tracks were to pay for the additional days that were used in an example in this proposal, that reduction will result in many more of our horsemen leaving the state. They will have no choice.

It will make no sense financially to race here; trainers cannot incur the cost -- and it is substantial -- to move their stables to Texas if there is no confidence that their horses will even get to run during a short meet that is being prescribed.

Retama is requesting a cut in race dates for its current meet, rather than write a check for an overpayment, which is recouped later on from simulcasting. So how can I be confident, or the horsemen be confident, how many additional days they are going to pony up and write a check for above that 20, in addition to the huge increase in their annual license fee?

Padding race days is not the answer the

Agency's budget woes. Cutting the operating expenses of
this Agency is the answer to this Agency's budget woes.

The model that this Agency operates under is broken and it
needs to be changed. The Agency needs to be restructured,
to more accurately reflect the industry that it regulates.

I have no doubt that if the Governor called today and said that this state agency must cut its budget by 15 percent, I am certain that a solution would be found to accomplish that immediately. So I will close with this question: Mr. Chairman, what if anything prevents you from ordering your executive director to make an immediate

15 percent cut in the operating budget. That is all I have. Thanks for letting me address you all today. Do you have any questions?

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you. Any questions?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER STEEN: I will call next Mary
Ruyle representing the Texas Thoroughbred Association, who
wishes to testify against the proposal.

MS. RUYLE: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Mary Ruyle, and I am the Executive Director for the Texas Thoroughbred Association. Thank you for this opportunity to make some comments regarding the TRC funding proposal.

Even though it is struggling mightily, the

Texas racing industry currently provides thousands of jobs

and contributes millions of dollars in general revenue to

the State. This includes not only the Class One

racetracks, who have invested tens of millions, that

extends to the thousands of small farms and small

businesses that support the entire industry. Each of

these businesses, regardless of size, have had to adjust

to make themselves competitive and remain solvent.

This proposal clearly is not in the best interests of our racing industry. The obvious big winner in this proposal is the Commission. As our members and

our businesses have made the necessary sacrifices to remain in business, the Racing Commission has not. Are we trading the job security of 50 or so, for the livelihoods of thousands.

This proposal allows a few of the racetrack licenses to benefit from reduced fees. However this comes at a great cost to the Class Ones licensees, as we have talked about this morning.

It is our opinion that these paper licenses have for far too long been allowed to remain dormant, and not fulfill their obligation to the racing industry. It is our position these licenses should not be allowed to have their fees reduced, but remain the same or be turned in to the Commission and be available to others who may wish to fulfill the requirements.

In our opinion, the fees being imposed on the Class One tracks are exorbitant, and only cover that 20 days of racing regulation at each track. And I do understand that more days can be purchased, at a cost of \$6,300 a day, and I applaud those licensees who will do that. But how long will they be able to make that commitment?

Cutting race days is devastating to the industry. Marsha just spoke about the ordeal for the horsemen who race. I need to address the issue from the

perspective of the breeding industry.

2.5

Texas-bred incentive awards for owners and breeders and stallion owners are paid for eligible horses, placing first, second or third, in Texas races only. In today's market, Texas-breds are not worth as much as other state-breds, where they have much higher purses and more lucrative incentive programs.

opportunities for Texas-bred horses. Along with the opportunities to earn those ATB incentive funds. That translates to no incentive to breed in Texas. While our surrounding states continue to thrive, there have been less and less reasons for our horsemen and breeders to remain in Texas, and this plan may be the final straw.

Over the years, TTA has reduced our budget as necessary, downsizing staff from 13 to 2 and a half. We have eliminated benefits. We have moved to smaller, less expensive quarters. We have outsourced some of our tasks and in-sourced others as we needed to achieve savings, and eliminated all, absolutely nonessential expenditures.

The first step in that process is to determine what our revenues are, and then reduce our expenses to match. That is just good business practice. And it seems to be the exact opposite of this approach.

In the proposed agreement, we are once again

asking the agency to make all efforts necessary to find ways to curtail expenses over the next two years. This is something that needs to be addressed quickly. Making an effort without implementing change is worthless.

I applaud them for the money they have found. But it is not enough. Further, the proposal reads that the industry would like the TRC to commit to a third-party review. That does not have any teeth.

To be funded through additional fees if necessary. Why in the world should the industry have to pay for a review that TRC Staff will likely say is not practicable. Asking or encouraging agency budget reductions have not been productive. And as Marsha said, I feel certain if the agency was mandated to reduce the budget by 15 percent or 20 percent or whatever, it would happen.

And I do want to point out one more thing about the license fees. You are talking about raising individual license fees on owners, trainers, industry reps, jockeys, grooms. If you raise those fees, 100 percent of those people are not going to renew. So you cannot count on that happening. You have to figure in the loss of a certain number of those people that are not going to pay.

And you get in that never-ending cycle if when

1	you raise something, people drop out. So you raise more,
2	and more people drop out. It is just not effective.
3	I would be happy to answer any questions.
4	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions of Ms. Ruyle?
5	COMMISSIONER EDERER: I have a question for
6	Mark.
7	Mark, the last time fees were raised, as I
8	understand it, was in '09.
9	MR. FENNER: I believe that is correct, sir.
10	COMMISSIONER EDERER: All right. So there has
11	been no raise in fees since '09, as far as the licensees
12	are concerned.
13	MR. FENNER: Correct.
14	COMMISSIONER EDERER: The occupational license
15	fees.
16	MR. FENNER: Occupational license fees.
17	MS. RUYLE: Correct. But I am saying that, do
18	not count on 100 percent of those people renewing because
19	they won't.
20	COMMISSIONER EDERER: I understand. Now, the
21	highest fee is \$100?
22	MR. FENNER: Yes, sir.
23	COMMISSIONER EDERER: So the highest fee, if
24	the increase goes through, would be \$120.
25	MR. FENNER: Yes, sir.

1 COMMISSIONER EDERER: And that is for the 2 horsemen --3 MR. FENNER: It is for owners and trainers. 4 COMMISSIONER EDERER: Owners, trainers --MS. RUYLE: Commissioner, I do not think the 5 6 issue is somebody having to pay another \$20. It is the 7 cumulative effect of, I'm having to pay more to run less 8 days, for less purse money, less incentive money, so on. 9 It is a cumulative effect. Thank you. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN STEEN: I will call next Rob Werstler from the TQHA, 11 12 also testifying against the proposal. 13 MR. WERSTLER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 14 Commissioners. Welcome, Commissioner Miller. Good to see 15 you here. Just to kind of peel back what you all were 16 17 just talking about, licenses. What Mary says is right; 18 the \$20 is not the issue. It is -- this proposal, people 19 won't come to race in Texas. They are not going to come 20 to run for 20 days. 21 Rob Werstler, representing the Texas Quarter 22 Horse Association. And we are opposed to this proposal. 23 First, I would like to address the proposal as 24 written. In the first line it says, In an effort to 25 preserve racing. Twenty days preserves nothing.

they do add more days and do pay for them themselves, that still does nothing to preserve racing, in my opinion.

Second line, All affected parties agreed. The horsemen were not a part of -- were not on the signatory page, not one single horsemen's group. We were not a part of this proposal whatsoever.

And I think as far as the affected parties, I do not think anybody is more affected than the horsemen with this proposal.

Under Notes, it basically says the italicized additional race dates is optional and shall be negotiated between Class One license holder and the official horsemen's rep.

Basically in other words if horsemen want to run more race days they can pay for them, they can help pay for them.

Quarter horse purses in Texas are the worst in the United States. They are the lowest right now. We do not have the money, we cannot afford to buy more race dates.

The next point I would like to make is about the Commission itself. You are tasked with administering and enforcing the Texas Racing Act. In Section 6.18(b) of the Texas Racing Act, it states, The Commission may prescribe a reasonable fee. I do not think anybody could

consider these reasonable fees.

2.5

In 8.01 of the Act, it states, Each race track shall afford reasonable access to all breeds of horses.

I do not think anyone could split up 20 days and call that reasonable access. And in 3.02(g) of the Act it states:

The Commission in adopting rules and in the supervision and conduct of racing shall consider the effect of a proposed Commission action on the State's agricultural, horse-breeding, horse-training, greyhound-breeding, greyhound-training industry.

The effects of this proposal basically ends horse-racing and -breeding industries in Texas, not to mention what it does to the Texas ag industry.

I have been marking off some of the things that Mary and Marsha have stated, but -- horsemen have made cutbacks. Racetracks have made painful cutbacks to staff and service, if you have been to the racetracks, it does not take much to see how bad they have cut back in services and such.

Horsemen in the state have made terrible sacrifices, doing a lot of the work themselves. Some of you know Jerry Windham. Jerry Windham is a legendary horseman. He is in the -- he is always one of the top breeders in the nation. He is in the Texas Horse Racing Hall of Fame and he is in the American Quarter Horse

Association Hall of Fame.

2.5

He has cut back his operation so drastically that he foals out all of his mares himself, cuts and bales all of his hay, cleans stalls -- and he is in his 70s. But he has done everything he can to keep his operation afloat.

I was in a meeting with him yesterday and he said, You know, I have the money; I could leave Texas. He says, I do not want to leave Texas, I love horses, I do not want to get out of the industry.

I can tell you there are probably horsemen and -women standing behind me or sitting behind me that can come up and tell you the exact same stories. So every racetrack and every horseman in this state have made cuts, made sacrifices.

A few months ago, as Commissioner Ederer alluded to, we all attended a meeting and we all sat down to discuss ways to help fund the Texas Racing Commission, help keep it going. And one of the ideas was for the Commission to make those tough cutbacks that everybody else in the industry have made.

I can tell you one thing. If you move forward with this proposal, you will not have an industry to regulate.

And from a Quarter Horse standpoint -- I mean,

1 this is bad from everybody but from a Quarter Horse 2 standpoint I think we will take the worst cuts. 3 nobody is going to come set up a barn at a racetrack for a 4 ten-, 12-day meet. It is just not going to work. 5 I thank you for my time. 6 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions, Commissioners? 7 I have a question for COMMISSIONER EDERER: 8 you. Now, I am going to shift gears on you a little bit. 9 MR. WERSTLER: Yes, sir. 10 COMMISSIONER EDERER: Again overlapping is a huge expense to the industry. Right now -- to the 11 12 Commission. Right now I see that you have got a Quarter 13 Horse meet running at Lone Star, and Retama has a 14 Thoroughbred meet. They are overlapping. 1.5 MR. WERSTLER: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER EDERER: In the future, let us --16 17 I am assuming things are going to go forward. Assuming 18 that they do go forward, what effect would it have on the 19 Quarter Horse industry if in fact there was no 20 overlapping? MR. WERSTLER: Well, you know, we would all 21 22 have to sit down and come to the table and actually look 23 at the calendar.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

I understand.

MR. WERSTLER: I can tell you now the Lone Star

COMMISSIONER EDERER:

24

2.5

meet, or the Quarter Horse meet is a very important meet for us. They have run those same days, it is set into the calendar to be a few weeks after Ruidoso closes, so those horses can come there and race.

And like say, Retama Park, they have gone to a two-day meet -- a two-day week to spread out over 20 days. We have always had overlapping meets, for years and years and years and years.

If you go to these 20-day meets, I imagine -- again, the racetracks are all saying they are going to run more than that -- but the only way you are going to do that, in my opinion, is you are going to have to cut back race dates so drastically --

COMMISSIONER EDERER: Not necessarily. Not if everybody would sit down and look at a calendar, in the future --

MR. WERSTLER: Well --

COMMISSIONER EDERER: -- race dates, you would have, say, these dates you have to have, because they are cut in stone as far as Quarter Horse is concerned, then you talk to Retama and get Retama to bump theirs, so that we are not doubling our effort and our cost.

Because it is a huge cost, and you are asking us to cut back --

MR. WERSTLER: Or --

COMMISSIONER EDERER: -- on our expense. That is one way to do it, but we need your help.

MR. WERSTLER: Right. And one of those ideas that came to mind with 20-day meets is, they are going to have to be mixed meets. You are not going to be able to run a Thoroughbred meet and a Quarter Horse meet. You are going to have to run mixed meets.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: Well, if they are mixed meets that is fine, as long as it is one particular meet.

MR. WERSTLER: Well, that is what I am saying, yes. And then if you did, like I say you only need three stewards. The stewards could move from place to place to place. But still that many race days at our current purse structure — these people have cut to the bone now. I am amazed that the racetracks are actually trying to stay open. I am amazed we have horsemen, trainers and breeders that still are in Texas.

And I said this years ago and I have probably said it before this Commission before, the only thing that is saving Texas is Texans are stubborn, and they are prideful about Texas. If what is going on in Texas right now was going on in Louisiana, they would shut their doors.

And I think the same with Oklahoma, New Mexico or any other state. We have people that have dug in here,

they do not want to move because they love Texas. But we, to answer your question, absolutely we would want to sit down and see. But that was one of the other problems with this; this proposal was worked on without any input from horsemen whatsoever.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any other questions?

(No response.)

1.5

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you, Rob.

MR. WERSTLER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Next I will call Jan Haynes representing the Texas Horsemen's Partnership, also wishing to testify on the proposal, I guess.

MS. HAYNES: Yes. I am Jan Haynes, Texas Horsemen Partnership.

Chairman Steen, Commissioners, I am not going to stand up here and tell you our industry is in crisis.

We are beyond that. We are heading off a cliff without a parachute. I believe over the last ten years the Class One tracks and the horsemen have tried to work together to keep our heads above water. In 2008 the three Class One tracks had a total of 250 live race dates, and the cost to regulate the live race date by the TRC was \$2,075.

In 2017, we have 174 live dates, and that same regulation cost is up \$3700 a day. So the horsemen have taken over a 30 percent reduction in live race dates,

close to 50 percent purse reduction, not to mention the greyhounds, who have over 250 days and now they are down to 36 while the TRC is considering the proposal to increase the cost of regulation, ballooning it up 300 percent. Our industry can no longer afford to stay afloat being regulated by this industry.

Commissioners, you were appointed to oversee this agency and, Chairman Steen, you were appointed to the lead. Our racing industry needs each of you to step up and do what you were appointed to do. Mr. Chairman, simply listening to the EDs and we are trying to figure out ways to cut back and we just cannot figure it out.

And listening to Joel testify that it is going to take four years to cut staff is no longer acceptable. This agency's bloated budget is choking the life out of every horseman in this state.

Wasteful state spending. Call his office, listen to his staff for help, for suggestions, for guidance. But sitting back and not taking action is just -- is no longer an option. I do not think the governor would want to have an ag industry that employs thousands of hard-working Texas taxpayers collapse on his watch due to overzealous spending by a state agency, especially with an election year coming up.

And I would like to address that track proposal in your agenda packet. A couple of years ago the agency spent time and money restructuring the whole active and inactive track license system.

2.5

This proposal is a perfect example of wasteful spending and resources by this staff. According to the rules you adopted, at who knows what the cost, you voted and approved that just paying the license fee for an inactive track was no longer a show of good faith for these paper licenses.

But now here we are, years later, you are considering this proposal that decreases the inactive track license by 50 percent. Let me remind you they contribute absolutely zero to the industry, other than paying their fees, and now they want that reduced by 50 percent. You will be punishing the three Class Ones and the horsemen by increasing our fees.

So all of the industry active participants will be punished. Why in the world would the Commission vote to approve restructuring the entire active and inactive license holders if you are not going to enforce your own rules. These Class Two inactives should have been forced to surrender their license years ago if they are not going to pay their full fees.

So Commissioners and Chairman Steen, you must

rein in this state agency's spending and demand a 15 percent reduction to keep the industry alive. And I notice, Chairman Steen, you did not answer Marsha's question about what is stopping you from demanding the 15 percent immediately.

2.5

And in just looking at their budget, just a few things that kind of just jump out -- I mean, and I didn't even look at it but like five minutes last night -- the lab testing. Do you get bids from other labs, or is it just because A&M always has it, they get it, they can charge whatever they want? I mean, do you look nationwide to see what other labs charge for fees? I mean, has that been done?

And fingerprinting every three years. A person's fingerprints are not going to change. I mean, I race in other states, I do not have to fingerprint every three years. That is another thing you could look at.

And like the full-time stewards and track vets. We have 174 race dates, but they are being paid to work all year. And I realize benefits and stuff but there is just different things to look at. And like, office space, at THP we had to cut back on our office space when we reduced staff and we are going to have to do it again. The TTA did the same thing. Why can't this office?

And travel expenses. Our race dates have been

cut over 50 percent but the travel budget goes up. I mean, just little things like that.

1.5

And Commissioner Ederer, you were talking about overlapping race dates. It is an easy fix. But again we were not asked to participate in drafting anything in that proposal. So that is one cost we can fix, and we are happy to. So, thank you. Do you have any questions?

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions?

MR. WERSTLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Sure.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mary talked about a 15 percent reduction and now you have talked about a 15 percent reduction. Is there facts behind that, or where did that number, that percentage come from?

MS. HAYNES: Well, in a meeting some of us in the industry had last week, and like I said this was the first time the horsemen were even part of anything to do with this — for me to even see the proposal — those were just the numbers, they were thinking that 15 percent would be enough to get us out of the trouble that we are in without the Class Ones having to pay this huge amount of money, to run.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: So it is not really about Commission efficiency, it is about what it would take to get a reduction to make it economical for the industry.

Is that what you are saying?

MS. HAYNES: Well, years ago when we had even more race dates, the Staff was way less, if you look back. And now there is what, 40-what, I do not know, 40-something employees. They do the same job that six used to do. It is just -- their cost of regulation just keeps going up and up.

So I just think within their own budget, yes, sir, we have to look at each thing and try to figure out ways to cut it back so we can all survive. Because if racing, if we go away, the agency does too. And at 20 days -- I'm an owner. I don't train, don't breed don't even know anything about breeding. But as an owner, I am not going to pay \$1,000 to ship a horse to run one time at a meet. Can't do it. We can't afford it, with what the purses are in Texas.

So I have to race out of state in order to claim a few horses that I can run in Texas to watch. So my out-of-staters can pay for the ones I race in Texas.

Because you can win one or two races every time, which is all you are going to get in, and you are still going to lose money at the end of the month. The purses are just too low. So -- but people like me that love the sport and horses, you just keep doing it.

COMMISSIONER MACH: So we have an obligation to

1 effectively regulate the industry. 2 MS. HAYNES: Right. 3 COMMISSIONER MACH: And the concern is that as 4 we right-size to get to the industry, we can no longer 5 effectively do our jobs as regulators. 6 MS. HAYNES: But if you do not try, how do you 7 know? 8 COMMISSIONER MACH: Well, I think the agency is 9 trying very hard. 10 MS. HAYNES: Okay. So. Anybody have any questions? 11 12 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you. 13 MS. HAYNES: Okay. 14 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Appreciate it. 15 Next, I have Kristine Fullerton, representing 16 the TTHBPA, wishing to testify on the proposal. 17 MS. FULLERTON: Good morning, Chairman, 18 Commissioners. Thank you all for hearing me out. I am really not comfortable with this. This is the second time 19 20 I have had to do it, and I am not any happier than I was 21 the first time two years ago. But thank you for the 22 opportunity to speak on this very important matter. 23 I am a trainer in Texas. I am now a member of the Texas Thoroughbred HBPA Board, and I also manage a 24 farm for some clients that breed. So I kind of have a big 2.5

stake in this game here.

As a horseman, the TxRC's continuing budget shortfalls are very worrisome. My livelihood, keeping my family and my horses fed hinges on your solvency. I cannot say this is a real warm, fuzzy feeling right now. The proposal being pitched today that severely curtails the racing calendar will decimate the horse industry. As a trainer, I cannot afford to ship horses all the way to a track for what might be a ten- or a 15-day meet, for my breed.

Transportation costs, bedding the stalls the first time, getting employees settled and all other setup costs can be between \$400 to \$800 a horse. That is prohibitive for more than two or three moves a year, to longer meets.

So now you are going to give us six moves; we are going to have short meets here, we are going to have to be out of state, we are going have to come back for a little bit, we are going to have to go to another meet, it is not cost effective for me and it is not cost effective for any of us.

Sorry, I'm not good at this and I lost my place.

(Pause.)

When the days go down, the number of licensees

is going to be down, because again we cannot have six moves a year. So you were talking about your 20 percent increase in license fees, we will pay it. I mean, I will pay an extra \$20, my grooms will pay an extra \$4, but we will not be here to pay them.

2.

2.5

We are going to be somewhere else where we can stay. We will not be here. So that and the revenue, we don't mind paying it, if we are here. We are not going to fight you on that. But we won't be here to pay it. We are going to be somewhere we can run 35, 45, 50 days. We will not be here where we can run 10 or 15 days.

You are going to haul horses in and you won't even get an opportunity to race them. And then your owners are going to fire you and leave. When there's exploring ways to decrease the Commission's expenses, the horsemen are constantly met with the refrain that there is nowhere to cut, and that I have also heard most of our positions are mandated.

I am a big one on research. I do not know a whole lot, but I can read. The latter, in regard to the large number of full-time employees the Texas Racing Commission has. Now, you just said that you do not want to cut regulation. You know what, horsemen do not want you to either.

Okay, it has been said that one of the reasons

you need so many regulators is that we are all cheating.

Here is the deal: We have bad apples and we want you to

catch them because they take money out of our pocket. But

if you go out in the general public, I will tell you

90 percent are going to say, Anyone in a state or

political position is crooked, and I do not think you all

are crooked, are you?

Because 90 percent of people are going to say you are. Okay, we get painted with the same brush. We do not want to see regulation go down, but I do believe it can be done more efficiently, and here is where I get that number:

with not a lot of time to work on this, I have spoken to racing commissions in eight other states so far. I did this in an effort to compare race days versus staffing. While I understand every state operates slightly differently in terms of funding source and composition, believe, me a couple of the executive directors were handing me their budgets and everything else; it was a lot of information I did not understand.

They all have the same basic jobs, which are regulating live racing and simulcast facilities, although in some states, the racing commission is also tasked with regulating historic racing, casinos that exist at the track, and full distribution duties of state-bred awards.

Some of them are even tasked with promoting the racing in their state.

2.

1.5

2.5

Here are some of the examples I have found so far: State of Kentucky, everyone knows their horse racing, right? Thirty-four full-time, two part-time employees. They oversee 291 race days of Thoroughbred and Standardbred, eight different tracks, four of which have historic racing and they also have several simulcast facilities.

State of Ohio has 22 full-time and four part-time employees, for 293 Thoroughbred, five Quarter Horse days, at seven different race tracks, and also 473 Standardbred days, but they said the Standardbred guys do have a couple of their own, and they use some of theirs.

State of Oklahoma, I hate to bring Oklahoma into comparison with Texas -- do not throw things at me -- but they did answer my questions so I had to use them.

Twenty-one full-time, 10 part-time employees for 209

Thoroughbred-Quarter Horse days. They also oversee the casino at two of those tracks, and they are responsible for distributing their breed awards.

The state of Maryland, 36 full-time, 12 part-time for 165 Thoroughbred and 100 Standardbred days, so 265 a year plus racino oversight.

New Mexico, 19 full-time, four part-time for

282 Thoroughbred and Quarter Horse days at five different race tracks across a rather large geographic area.

California: Oh, God, I am going to bring
California and compare it to Texas. I am really going to
get thrown at. Fifty-five full-time employees, while
overseeing the racing of Thoroughbreds, Quarter Horse,
Standardbreds, Arabians, Appaloosa, Paints and mules at
four major race tracks, five fair meets over 600 total
days of racing in the state.

Arizona runs comparable to us, they have nine full-time and up to 15 seasonal or contract employees, for 146 days of racing and 60 OTB sites.

That is some comparisons, okay? If you guys want to cut costs, maybe see how they are doing it? And these are states that are known for tough-regulation states. They are not states of winner-take-all.

Now, New Mexico has had its issues, I will not throw them in, but they have a new executive director.

And they are tightening down, and they are still doing it with a reasonable number. We do not want to see people in the field cut.

Also on that note, I wanted to look and see what positions were mandated, so I pulled up the Racing Act, and from what I can read, please correct me if I am wrong, of the mandated positions we have Executive

Director/Executive Secretary, it has the same number.

Clerk of Scales, official Starter, Paddock Judge, Patrol

Judge, which we have not had in a long time, you all might

get that off of there someday.

Placing officials, stewards, horseshoe inspector, timer, judge, which is basically the stewards at the greyhound meet, and State Veterinarian. I went through. The racetracks pay most of these people. The Commission is responsible for paying the three stewards or the three judges if it is greyhound, and the state veterinarian. I was informed last night the racetracks even pay the test monitor technicians here.

Now, understanding the license clerks are your, you have to pay them and yes, we need them. But of all of these mandated positions that we have to have by the Racing Act, most of them are paid by the racetracks. So we should be able to keep a lot of the regulation within budget, I would think.

You know, the whole deal with this proposal where the productive tracks pay more and the least productive and unproductive licenses pay less, I went to school during the Cold War. Okay? The Berlin Wall fell the year I graduated. They spent a lot of time teaching us that taking money from those who produce and giving it to those who don't is supposed to be un-American and not

1 what we are supposed to do. 2 And I mean, that went against everything we 3 were ever taught in school and it kind of goes against the 4 grain of people that work as hard as horsemen do. 5 In closing, I would just like to say, in tough 6 economic times, individuals have to make very, very 7 serious calls in our lives about what are wants and what are truly necessities. Wants have to be left by the 8 9 wayside till more prosperous times. Statewide, nationwide. 10 11 The people are starting to demand that those 12 same honest judgments be made by their government, which 13 has for far too long made no discrepancy between what it 14 wants and expects to have, and what it truly needs to 1.5 function. Thank you for your time and attention. 16 17 questions? 18 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions? 19 (No response.) 20 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you for your hard 21 work. 22 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you. 23 Okay, next we have LeeAnn Nalls representing herself, wishing to testify. 24 2.5 MS. NALLS: Good afternoon, Texas Racing

1 Commission. This is the first time I have gotten to 2 I have only been to four or five meetings. 3 I have been in the racing industry since the 4 age of five; today I'm an owner-breeder in the State of 5 Texas, and I still have a passionate love for horses. So 6 this morning I get up and feed seven horses before I take 7 off to Austin. I live down in Victoria; we were greatly 8 9 affected by Hurricane Harvey with a little over \$100,000 in damage to the ranch. So thank God for good insurance. 10 11 And by trade I am a financial advisor, for 12 those of you who I haven't met, and I am a little bit 13 active in the Association, The Texas Quarter Horse 14 Association. My father was active in the Texas Thoroughbred, back when I was a kid in the '70s. 15 MR. FENNER: Could we take a short recess? 16 17 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Let us take a --18 MR. FENNER: Ten minutes or so. CHAIRMAN STEEN: A ten-minute recess. I'm 19 20 sorry to interrupt. 21 MS. NALLS: Sure. No problem. Thank you. 22 MR. FENNER: So 12:20? 23 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay, the time is now 12:10 24 and we will reconvene at 12:20. 2.5 (Whereupon, at 12:10 p.m., a recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay. Let us reconvene here 1 2 in one minute. 3 (Whereupon, the recess continued.) 4 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay, we are now going to 5 reconvene, the time is 12:22. I would like to bring Ms. 6 LeeAnn Nalls back up, our apologies for cutting her short. 7 Due to some other obligations of the 8 Commissioners, we are going to have to hold testimony at 9 this point going forward to just three minutes. 10 Our apologies for interrupting. MS. NALLS: No problem. I did not have a 11 12 speech written, but back to where I was, I was going back 13 to what I do for a living today. I am a financial advisor 14 and a licensed insurance agent. 1.5 And part of what I do today is teaching people about financial efficiency, how to cut expenses, how to 16 17 look at their loans, things like that. 18 And one of the things I do understand, if it was 2013 or 2014 when the Texas Racing Commission was 19 20 asked to look at their overall expenses, and I quess I 21 understand today that we have not been able to cut the 22 expenses I assume, as everybody thought, that the Commission could cut. 23

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Because I know in a lot of the organizations that I am a

And I would like to know, in a way, why?

24

25

part of, we have had to cut our rent expenses. We have had to cut employees.

I mean, my husband is oilfield related, so we have to outsource our payroll. Instead of renting a building, we were able to eventually buy property out in the county instead of the city to cut expenses.

And I understand it is a government bureaucracy, but at the same time, are your people crosstrained? These are some basic questions. Anybody can answer a telephone, anybody can enter information in a computer. And I understand at the racetracks that they have cut, and now that a lot of those things have been cut, and now I understand that the racetracks are paying for a lot of things that I actually did not know the racetracks paid for.

In the contract about the labs; I am a Texas

Aggie. I am sure A&M is doing a good job. But to keep

everybody honest, somebody should look at those fees, what

is being charged, what is being reimbursed by the tracks.

I do not know those numbers.

But you cannot sit here and tell me that you cannot cut expenses. The horsemen out here -- and I am small part of the horsemen; I am small breeder. I won eight races last year, and our horse operation barely broke even.

So I am here to tell you, as a person that inherited part of a ranch, it is very difficult living in Texas to make a horse operation profitable at all. Why am I still in it? I am passionate about it. I am going to be here next year with or without you all, but I want to run in Texas. I have a horse in the Texas Classic Dobie Trials in October; I have two horses running at Lone Star Park on Friday night.

2.5

So I am just here to implore you all to cut your employees, independent contractors, there are so many options out there, and obviously, it is obvious to me. I did print the 49-page agenda. It is obvious to me that we have not done what needed to be done.

And the horsemen were not included in the current proposal. I do not understand that. I am not here to understand that.

But an ad hoc committee could be created to sit down with all of the people involved, and try to figure out what we could do that would be positive for the industry.

We are Texas. We raise more American Quarter Horses than any other state as far as numbers; I do not have the numbers for the Thoroughbreds, I am not a Thoroughbred breeder. But we are the biggest state, we are the best state. And I want to run my horses here in

Texas. So I would appreciate if we would all get back to what we are here to do today is to try and find a way.

Do not tell me there is not a solution. I know there is a solution. But for some reason, we have not done, found the solution. And I just implore you to find a solution. There is one. Let us not all put our heads in the sand and act like we cannot find a way to cut expenses at the Racing Commission, or whatever needs to be done.

Look at what we are doing with every bit that we are paying fees for. What would it take, two days, three days? Four days? I would be willing to give some time to it. I think everybody in this room would be willing to give some time to it.

And --

1.5

VOICE: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry but --

MS. NALLS: That is all. Thank you. I just want to see us continue. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you, Ms. Nalls.

I do have two other comment cards not wishing to testify but both against the proposal, Jennifer Gibbs, representing the TTA, and also Mr. Henry Witt, against the proposal.

I would like to address a few things that were said there. I want to say that I am sympathetic to both

Lone Star's position in this matter, as well as the horsemen.

2.

1.5

I am supportive of the proposal because I do not think that we have another proposal. I think that we are seriously facing a zero-race-day scenario. I know this is not an optimal solution, but it is better than zero, and it is what we have right now in front of us.

A lot of you have made comments about the agency's budget. I am, as Joel reported, we have made some cuts. Occasionally that is tough, to make cuts, you know, look at that from an internal standpoint and not have from a third party taking a look at that, but a part of the proposal here is to potentially have a third party look at the agency and evaluate it.

I am not an expert on regulation; there is a lot of complexities here. I do not -- I am an appointed volunteer. I do understand what you are saying and I am supportive of a third-party review to take a look at any opportunities that we have for cost savings here. So I think that is an important part of the proposal, and something I am supportive of, and I am supportive of the proposal overall.

As far as a third-party review goes, I think that would go to the ad hoc committee, which is now meeting, Commissioner Ederer and Commissioner Mach, and I

1	would like for that committee to work, assuming this
2	proposal went through, to work with Staff and the industry
3	to identify the next steps towards getting a third party
4	to take a look at our budget.
5	Any other comments from Commissioners?
6	VOICE: No. I think that was very good.
7	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Do we have a motion on this
8	item? Possible motion to entertain entertain a motion
9	to publish the proposed amendments to Rules 309.8 and
10	311.5 in the <i>Texas Register</i> for public comment?
11	COMMISSIONER MACH: I will make that motion.
12	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Motion made by
13	Commissioner Mach.
14	COMMISSIONER MARTIN: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Seconded by Commissioner
16	Martin. Any discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Jean, will you call roll?
19	MS. COOK: Yes, sir.
20	Commissioner Mach?
21	COMMISSIONER MACH: Aye.
22	MS. COOK: Commissioner Martin?
23	COMMISSIONER MARTIN: Aye.
24	MS. COOK: Commissioner Miller?
25	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Aye.

1 MS. COOK: Commissioner Ederer? 2 COMMISSIONER EDERER: 3 MS. COOK: Commissioner Steen. 4 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Aye. 5 That motion carries. 6 Moving on to Item V., Proceeding on Racetracks, 7 5A., Action on applications by inactive racetrack license holders for license renewal under Commission Rule 309.52. 8 9 Mark, will you please lay out the item. MR. FENNER: Yes, sir. Section 6.0602 of the 10 11 Act requires the Commission to conduct annual reviews of the inactive racetrack licenses. And at the conclusion of 12 13 the review, you can either renew the license as inactive; 14 you can re-designate the license as active, or you can refer it to the State Office of Administrative Hearings 15 for nonrenewal proceedings. 16 17 Now, on page 25 of the packet, there is a memo 18 from Chuck that describes the criteria used in deciding whether to renew a license. 19 20 And then there is also copies of their actual 21 forms that they have completed, in the packet on pages 30 22 to 40. 23 Now, of particular note is that two of the 24 licenses do have grounds for nonrenewal. Specifically,

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

both Laredo Downs and Valle de los Tesoros are in this

25

dispute about fees, and so they have not paid their fees, and that is a ground for nonrenewal.

2.5

In addition, Laredo Downs has a ground for nonrenewal in that it has not maintained either the ownership or a leasehold interest in the property where the license is designated to be.

But under the rules, the presence of a ground for nonrenewal does not require a referral to SOAH proceedings, but it remains an option if you believe it is not in the best interest of the industry, or of the public, or if you believe that they have failed to make good faith efforts to conduct live racing.

And so I defer to the racetracks to come up and make their presentation as to why your license should be renewed.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Do we have a representative from Manor Downs?

MS. YOUNG: Good afternoon, Commissioners. I am here on behalf of one of our licenses, Manor Downs.

Manor Downs is a license we acquired late last year. We actually paid all of their back fees to bring the license into good standing, as we have done straight up through our other licenses, we are pretty committed to live racing.

We have run meets at both our greyhound and

1 horse-racing tracks this past year. We are still 2 committed to bringing live racing back to the Manor Downs 3 license. We have spent a lot of time this past summer in 4 particular as evidenced in the documentation in the 5 Commission package, evaluating all of the opportunities 6 available to us in Travis County, everything from 7 partnering with the rodeo, to looking at temporary 8 simulcasting facilities here in Travis County. 9 So we are hopeful, you know, once we can get 10 past this resolution on understanding where the Commission 11 is headed, that we can probably in short order come back to the Commission with some ideas around some things we 12

I am happy to answer any questions you may have.

would like to do with the license.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDERER: The present status is what?

MS. YOUNG: The present status is we are evaluating opportunities to start simulcasting again with the license, and --

COMMISSIONER EDERER: And you hope to come back to us?

MS. YOUNG: We do. Probably once all of the fees are figured out, and sorted out, and we can -- our Board can make an educated business decision on

1 understanding the investment that is going to require of 2 So realistically, maybe later this year or early next 3 year. 4 COMMISSIONER EDERER: First meeting of the 5 year, probably? 6 MS. YOUNG: Yes, probably. 7 COMMISSIONER MACH: To confirm, the issue with 8 Manor Downs is, they are not conducting live racing. 9 MR. FENNER: Actually, no. Manor Downs doesn't have an issue that independently is a ground for 10 nonrenewal. Laredo Downs and Valle de los Tesoros do. 11 12 MS. YOUNG: We have been paying all of our 13 fees. 14 CHAIRMAN STEEN: I think we should take up 15 Manor because they have been paying their fees, separately 16 from the other two licenses. Do we have any discussion 17 regarding Manor license? 18 (No response.) COMMISSIONER MACH: I would move that we renew 19 20 the license for Manor Downs. COMMISSIONER EDERER: Second. 21 22 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Motion made by Mach, seconded 23 by Ederer to renew Manor Down license as inactive. 24 discussion? 2.5 (No response.)

1 CHAIRMAN STEEN: We will take this up for a 2 All in favor please signify by saying aye. 3 (A chorus of ayes.) 4 CHAIRMAN STEEN: The motion carries. Next, we will move on to the other two 5 6 licenses, Laredo Downs and Valle de los Tesoros. 7 Mark, do you have any further comment on that? 8 MR. FENNER: No, sir. I would not. 9 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Do we have a representative for those licenses? 10 MR. MOLTZ: Good afternoon, Commissioners. 11 12 name is Bill Moltz, and I am actually here on behalf of 13 both of the licenses, Laredo and Valle de los Tesoros. 14 I won't go over everything that is in the applications. I will note that in the materials where it 15 is summarized the -- there is a table in here -- there was 16 17 a -- anyway, the table for Valle de los Tesoros is 18 actually a copy of the LRP table, and it is very similar, 19 but it is not the right one in the summary. But in the 20 application it is correct. And so we will stand on the application. 21 22 is one thing I will add, or a couple of things I will add. 23 With respect to the grounds for referral, I will call it, 24 of not paying the fees, we have not paid the fees, that is

correct. We are in litigation over the fees as all of you

25

know.

2.

1.5

And we have signed off on the proposal that is in the package which would call for -- under the way it works, of payment of fees under that proposal beginning in two days. So I think that is about to be addressed pretty soon; within a couple of days.

With regard to other activities, the -- both McAllen and Laredo, that's Valle de los Tesoros in Laredo, we are still committed to these tracks. I mean they are spending a lot of money on all of these proceedings and everything, they have not just turned them in, which is definitely an alternative. It is what has been on the table all along, it is just to say, Here is your licenses, we go home.

We do not want to do that. We are trying to work through this; we think the proposal addresses that. And as part of that, we are contemplating for both Laredo and McAllen, getting with the Staff relatively soon. I mean, we have got to resolve this litigation and things. But getting with the Staff with regard to actually proposing simulcasting for those locations, a similar schedule as what Andrea first put out to you to actually increase the purse money for the horsemen.

And with that I will stand on the application, and request that those licenses be renewed. And I will

1 answer any questions. 2 COMMISSIONER EDERER: Yes, I have a few 3 questions. 4 First off, you said that you are going to, you 5 are working toward putting it in simulcast? 6 MR. MOLTZ: Yes. I mean, that is the plan, and 7 has been, and --8 COMMISSIONER EDERER: About eight years. 9 MR. MOLTZ: Excuse me? COMMISSIONER EDERER: It has been that plan for 10 about eight years as I remember. 11 12 MR. MOLTZ: No. No, we did propose it once for 13 McAllen, and got denied. Talking about trying again. 14 has not previously been proposed for Laredo. The proposal 15 there was to move it to Fort Worth, but there was pushback there, so now we are looking at actually, Okay, let us do 16 17 it in --18 COMMISSIONER EDERER: As far as the moving to 19 Fort Worth, that is not going to happen. Is that correct? 20 MR. MOLTZ: I think they would still like to, but it is somewhat off the table due to the feedback 21 22 they've received. COMMISSIONER EDERER: Okay. Second, what you 23 24 are asking us to do is to approve the inactive license for 25 you and to allow you to begin paying the fees. Is that

correct?

2.5

2 MR. MOLTZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: At the same time, there is a lawsuit sitting out there, saying that you are not going to pay the fees; you're challenging these fees.

MR. MOLTZ: Well, as of today, yes, sir, that is correct. But the fees that are being challenged are not the fees in the proposal. They are the existing fees. The proposal calls for payment of fees under the proposal.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: Okay, so if the lawsuit was won, from what you are asking for, then you would not be paying any fees. Is that correct?

MR. MOLTZ: I'm sorry. Run that by me again?

COMMISSIONER EDERER: If in fact you were to

win, you would get what you are asking for as far as the

lawsuit is concerned. Then you would not have to pay any

fees. Is that right?

MR. MOLTZ: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: All right. So what is your intention? If in fact we would go along with you and allow you to keep your license, in an inactive status, what is your intention?

MR. MOLTZ: With respect to what? I am not -COMMISSIONER EDERER: You have said that you

will go ahead and you will start paying some fees, but on the other hand you have got a lawsuit pending saying that you do not want to pay any fees.

MR. MOLTZ: I am not sure I understand. The lawsuit does not say, We do not want to pay any fees.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: Uh-huh.

MR. MOLTZ: It never has. The -- and I do not want to get too much into the lawsuit here, Commissioner, but that is not -- has not been the claim that they are -- The Texas Racing Act does allow the collection of fees. We do not dispute that. The amount of the fees is basically what is in dispute.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: I understand that. So I guess what my question to you is -- and you say you do not want to get into the lawsuit. Well, we are in the lawsuit.

MR. MOLTZ: Yes, I realize that --

COMMISSIONER EDERER: So you are asking us to allow you to stay in an inactive status, allow you to pay partial fees, and at the same time, you have got a lawsuit pending saying that you do not want to pay any fees, and whatever else your allegations are.

MR. MOLTZ: Well, Mr. Chairman, this will -COMMISSIONER EDERER: I don't have the petition
here to go over it with you, but --

1 MR. MOLTZ: Okay. This will have to be --2 based on the action taken here today previously, that may 3 very well change the complexion of the lawsuit. 4 COMMISSIONER EDERER: And how would it change 5 the complexion of the lawsuit --6 MR. MOLTZ: Well --7 COMMISSIONER EDERER: -- if it is a favorable ruling on your behalf? 8 9 MR. MOLTZ: If what is a favorable ruling? 10 COMMISSIONER EDERER: The Commission allows you 11 to keep your inactive license and allows you to pay fees 12 as set out the agreement. 13 MR. MOLTZ: Well, we would have to get into the 14 details with your counsel, Mr. Willard, from the Attorney General's Office, and work through these things. 1.5 But for 16 example, for one thing, there is a temporary injunction 17 hearing to basically shut this fee thing down, set for 18 October 2, which I imagine will be --COMMISSIONER EDERER: Well, that would --19 20 MR. MOLTZ: -- passed on. 21 COMMISSIONER EDERER: -- moot. Correct? 22 MR. MOLTZ: Excuse me? 23 COMMISSIONER EDERER: That would be moot. 24 MR. MOLTZ: It -- I am not willing to admit 25 here today that it's all moot. But I think that that is

going to be your counsel's position, and it may be we'll pass on that hearing. That may very well be.

2.

2.5

I guess what I am telling you is, it does change the complexion of it, and we will have to get with your counsel to work through all of the details of that: what that means in the real world as far as status of the lawsuit, and the timing and things like that.

We have not talked with your counsel on -- other than depositions that are scheduled for next week may very well not be necessary anymore.

MR. FENNER: Commissioner, may I ask a question?

(No verbal response.)

MR. FENNER: So, Mr. Moltz, if the Commission approves the renewal of the inactive license and your client pays their fees in accordance with the proposal, then between now and the November meeting, can you tell us what your intent is towards the litigation? Is it to pursue it, or is it to set it to the side and wait to see what happens?

MR. MOLTZ: Let me say, in all likelihood -there's a lot of details. The devil's in the details. In
all likelihood, it would be to a great degree if not
entirely abated until we see if we have a final rule.

MR. FENNER: And then once -- if the Commission

1 were to take this up and adopt the proposal and adopt the 2 rules at the November meeting and have the rules go into 3 effect by December 1, can you tell me what your plans --4 anticipated plans would be regarding the litigation then? 5 That is a lot of ifs. I do not MR. MOLTZ: 6 believe there will be much that we would be --7 COMMISSIONER EDERER: But your answer is very 8 important to us. 9 The current litigation would be MR. MOLTZ: relative to a rule that would be no longer effective and 10 11 would be replaced. I don't believe there is any plan to 12 continue that litigation on the new rule, if that is what 13 you are asking. 14 MR. FENNER: Okay. I think that is --15 16 I mean, the proposal does have two parts to it. It has

MR. MOLTZ: We have signed on this proposal -
I mean, the proposal does have two parts to it. It has
the fees, and it has the evaluation of the Commission's
budget and all of that sort of thing. So you have to kind
of look at that as a package. But assuming that the whole
package goes forward, we are on board on that.

MR. FENNER: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER EDERER: You would be on board on that if the Commission goes forward and allows you to keep your inactive status and the fees are as proposed?

MR. MOLTZ: Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 COMMISSIONER EDERER: 2 MR. MOLTZ: I was just trying to follow my way 3 through that. 4 COMMISSIONER EDERER: I understand. We are 5 putting you on the spot; I understand that. 6 MR. MOLTZ: There are signatures on this 7 agreement that are on behalf of the five tracks -- the 8 proposal that was voted on earlier. 9 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Did you have a comment? 10 COMMISSIONER MACH: I just have a motion. CHAIRMAN STEEN: I know that there's been some 11 discussions about these licenses here, the ones that are 12 13 under discussion right here. 14 My view on it is that if we undertake a review 15 in earnest, then various participants in the industry, 16 including these, would be able to make an educated 17 decision on what their fees could look like going forward. 18 And for that reason, I am in favor of renewal 19 of this license at this point -- these two licenses. 20 COMMISSIONER MACH: Mr. Chairman, subject to the fees being paid Friday as agreed, I would move that we 21 22 approve the renewal of these two licenses for Laredo Downs and for Valle de los Tesoros. 23 24 MR. FENNER: To clarify, Commissioner Mach, you

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

are making the approval contingent upon approval -- upon

25

1	payment of the fees.
2	COMMISSIONER MACH: Upon payment of the fees.
3	Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'd second that.
5	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Motion made by Commissioner
6	Mach and seconded by Commissioner Miller. Any further
7	discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN STEEN: We will take this up for a
10	vote. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
11	(A chorus of ayes.)
12	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN STEEN: That motion carries.
15	Okay. On to Item (C), next is Retama's request
16	for an application period of race dates.
17	MR. FENNER: I think we skipped Item (B).
18	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Oh, I'm sorry.
19	(B), request from Retama to amend their live
20	racing schedule.
21	MR. FENNER: Oh, yes, sorry. Apologies.
22	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Item (B), request by Retama to
23	amend its 2017 live racing schedule.
24	Mark, please lay this out.
25	MR. FENNER: Yes, sir. Retama Park has

requested approval to amend its 2017 Thoroughbred schedule by eliminating four race days, and this is to prevent a purse overpayment of over \$350,000. Eliminated dates would be October 27, November 3, 10 and 11.

This request follows a previous request of

This request follows a previous request of

Retama to cancel four other Thoroughbred race dates which

were approved by the Executive Director because there was

the written support of the Horsemen's Partnership and the

Thoroughbred Association.

But this request has not received the support of those two organizations, so the Executive Director by rule cannot approve them. So they have to bring this request to the Commission for consideration.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you. In the essence of time, could I ask Bill Belcher and Steve Ross to come to testify together.

Representing Retama Park, IV.

MR. BELCHER: Yes, sir. So good afternoon again.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Would you identify yourselves, because she's having to track two people at one time.

MR. BELCHER: Oh, I'm sorry. Bill Belcher from Retama.

MR. ROSS: And Steve Ross, director of racing operations, Retama Park.

MR. BELCHER: This request is to avoid overpayment of just over a quarter million dollars. We have been forecasting — so last Thoroughbred meet, my understanding — I wasn't here then but my understanding was we overpaid by approximately a quarter million dollars.

This -- earlier this year in the Quarter Horse, we overpaid by 137,000-ish, and we worked with the Association, the horsemen, and they helped offset that.

We paid 100,000, they paid 37,000-ish.

And now with our reforecasting for our

Thoroughbred meet that we are currently in right now, with
the handle going the way it is, we are looking at
overpaying by now, as a reforecast, just under 300,000.

So we made a little ground up since we sent you that
letter, and we reached out to the associations.

We were originally just going to -- our proposal was to cut the last two weekends off, the last two Friday-Saturdays. But Mary actually reached out back to us and said it would be better if we cut these dates; that's why it is a couple Fridays, and then the one weekend.

And we said, Great, that is a great compromise, so we put that in the letter, and that is our request.

And that is it.

1 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any questions, Commissioners? 2 (No response.) 3 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Thank you. 4 MR. ROSS: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN STEEN: I would like to recognize 5 6 Marsha Rountree and Jan Haynes. Would you guys, in the 7 interest of time, mind stepping up together to represent 8 the Texas Horsemen's Partnership, both wishing to testify 9 against. 10 MS. ROUNTREE: Marsha Rountree, Executive Director, Texas Horsemen's Partnership. 11 12 MS. HAYNES: Jan Haynes, member of the board. 13 MS. ROUNTREE: Just for clarification, for 14 those of you who might not understand what an overpayment 15 means, it means that the purses that are paid are in excess of the balance in the purse account at the time of 16 the payment. It is an industry standard and very 17 18 customary for racetracks to pay -- overpay purses. 19 That amount is recouped from simulcast, from 20 money earned from simulcasting; it goes right back to the 21 racetracks over a period of time. So it is not a gift; it 22 is a loan. The racetracks do get to recoup it. 23 We did agree at the -- before the meet started at Retama Park this fall, they came to us and requested 24

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

that they be allowed to cut four days of racing from their

25

approaching, very quickly, Thoroughbred meet.

2.5

We did agree to that, reluctantly. At that time we would rather have cut a couple of days rather than purses. The horsemen agreed with that. And we thought everything was going to be fine.

So the Thoroughbred horsemen have already lost four days for the current meet that is in progress, now that our horsemen have shipped to Retama, are participating in the meet, they are being, now that they are there, and they are already gone to the expense of shipping into Retama, they are asking to agree to cut another four days from the existing ongoing Thoroughbred meet.

This should have been announced well before the meet started, and not to pull the rug out from under the horsemen that are already there. We understand their dilemma; we understand that their handle is down; it has been during the Quarter Horse meet as well as this Thoroughbred meet.

But we would really like for Retama to consider the options that might include a reduction in purses, rather than cutting four more days out of a meet that the horsemen are already there, they are already expecting to be able to run those days.

MS. HAYNES: Yes. I agree with her. The purse

cut would be better, because it is already a short meet as it is, and like I testified before, it is very expensive to move a stable, you know, your employees and everything, and now after everybody is already there, the horses and all, now they want them to work four days less, and that is not fair. So the purse cut would be better than the elimination of the days.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: And have you had discussions regarding the purse cut?

MS. ROUNTREE: No, we have not. The discussions that we have had with Retama Park have all revolved around an overpayment, which Retama Park says that they absolutely will not do. And quite frankly, we have been kind of immersed in other issues lately, and this has not been at the top of our list, although this request was just made recently, and it was after the meet started.

So we are more than willing to discuss this with Retama, but we would like for them to not come before the Commission and request that they be allowed to cut four more days from an existing meet, rather we would like for them to meet with us and see if we can solve this problem with some strategic purse cuts.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: When are the race days in question being run?

1	MS. ROUNTREE: I do not have it in front of me
2	right here, but it's some Fridays it is the last
3	weekend of racing plus two other Fridays, is that what it
4	is?
5	VOICE: The 27th and the 3rd.
6	MS. ROUNTREE: The last whole weekend, the
7	Friday and the Saturday, and then two Fridays before that.
8	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Steve, would you have
9	something to say in that regard?
10	MR. ROSS: Sure. Yes. Regarding a purse cut,
11	there is 14 days left in the meet if we go through the
12	11th. So if we were to, assuming in this model, business
13	about 85 percent of 2016 levels, we are looking at about
14	at \$17,000 a day purse cut, to get to the point where we
15	do not have an overpay.
16	That is \$17,000 a day on purses that are not
17	very high to begin with. So I do not really know if that
18	is something that a horseman would like to see. I mean,
19	you might be looking at purses of \$60,000 a day.
20	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Do you want to respond to
21	that?
22	MS. ROUNTREE: I think that, I mean
23	MS. HAYNES: There is no good thing.
24	VOICE: Yes. There is no good solution.
25	MS. HAYNES: But with the stables already being

there, I think that the purse cut is the better option. You know, if we knew this before the meet, then we could have notified trainers and said, Hey, give us feedback. What do you guys want to do? But we did not know, I mean, and the meet has already started, they are already there, I just think that cutting the dates now out from under them now, is unfair.

1.5

MS. ROUNTREE: I think that a lot of these horsemen have shifted their expectation that they are going to run races on those days. They have got horses that they have planned to run on those days, and so if we go to those horsemen now and say, Guess what, we have already cut four days off the meet that you were expecting to run.

Now we are taking four more days off the meet when you have got a certain number of horses' expectations of being entered into these races. They are going to have the opportunity to actually participate in these races, and now we say, Nope, you are not going to be able to do this.

My guess is that a lot of these horsemen are going to say, Okay, fine. See you. And they are going to pick up and go. So they are going to — they were going to have short fields anyway, so I do not know, there is no good answer. There really is no good answer to this. But

1 my feedback from the horsemen that I have spoken to about 2 this issue is, they would rather run the days, and to 3 decrease the purses somewhat, but they would rather keep 4 the days there than eliminate the days. 5 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Steve, is this an acceptable 6 solution? 7 MR. ROSS: Well, let me just say, in some 8 correspondence, I mean, our goal was to get to the end of 9 the meet with no purse overpayment. One way or another. 10 One way was -- and this was in some 11 correspondence that we had -- was to either cut days, or 12 reduce purses. And we did not -- only today did I hear 13 that reducing purses is the preferred course of action. 14 Now, for us, in fact in the past it has always 15 been, or at least if you go back to what we did in July, 16 it was, We do not want to run for these low purses. We 17 would rather cut dates. 18 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Do we have a solution here in 19 front of us, potentially? Or is that something, the 20 cutting of the purses, is that a solution that is 21 acceptable to you guys? 22 MR. ROSS: I would like to get Bill's input on 23 that before --

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. BELCHER: Yes, my direction on this was

CHAIRMAN STEEN: All right.

24

2.5

1 just the overpayment. And we did correspond and ask what 2 options, and we just did not hear anything. I would 3 assume they had other things going on. And we were on a 4 deadline to get it on the agenda, so we had to get it on 5 the agenda. How we do it, we are open to figuring it out. 6 But we have got to do it. 7 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay. MS. ROUNTREE: And I would like to add that 8 9 what Steve said is absolutely correct. When the request was made before the meet began --10 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Right. Now that you have your 11 12 people there, it is a different story --13 MS. ROUNTREE: It is different. Yes. But it 14 is different now. These people are there with the 15 expectation that they are actually going to run. CHAIRMAN STEEN: So Retama, are you willing to 16 work with them to cut the purse money and keep the days? 17 18 MR. ROSS: Yes. 19 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay. And so can we scratch 20 this item off of the list? 21 (Crosstalk.) 22 CHAIRMAN STEEN: All right. Now we are moving 23 on to Item (C). This is Retama's request for an 24 application period for race dates.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Mark, can you please lay out the item.

2.5

MR. FENNER: Yes, sir. Retama Park has filed a request to open a new application period for race dates, and they are proposing that they be conducted during calendar year 2018, with the application period itself to close on November 10, 2017.

This request was supported by both Lone Star

Park and Sam Houston Park. This request duplicates in

part the current application period the Commission opened

at its April meeting, but I gather -- and maybe I am

wrong -- that the tracks wish to start anew, a fresh start

on the application period since the proposed fee schedule

changes or calculations towards race dates.

However, the proposed closing of November 10 is too late. I mean, we are going to have a Commission meeting that week. I think that they were thinking there would be a December meeting.

CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay.

MR. FENNER: So Staff would propose that if you approve a new application period, that it be from January 1 through December 31, 2018, and then also from January 1 through August 31 of 2019. That gives us through the remainder of that fiscal year and helps us to do some planning.

And then to close the application due date on August 24, 2017, which will be October 24 -- I'm sorry --

1 August 24, 2017 --2 VOICE: October. 3 MR. FENNER: Wow, did I do it again? 4 sorry. 5 (Laughter.) 6 MR. FENNER: October 24, 2017. 7 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Do we have anybody signed up 8 to speak on this? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Okay. Do we have any Commission discussion? 11 COMMISSIONER EDERER: I would just like to make 12 13 a comment in the request for Retama. If in fact this is 14 approved, during this period, get together with the other 15 tracks and let's try to eliminate all overlapping, so we 16 don't have that problem. It'll be a big savings to the 17 Commission. 18 VOICE: (Away from microphone.) COMMISSIONER EDERER: All right, good. 19 20 understand from Lone Star they have committed. I haven't heard from Andrea, but I am sure she will commit also. 21 22 There you go. 23 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Great. Do we have a motion? 24 COMMISSIONER MARTIN: So moved. 25 CHAIRMAN STEEN: Made by Commissioner Martin.

1	COMMISSIONER MACH: I second.
2	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Second by Commissioner Mach.
3	MR. FENNER: Could I get a clarification on
4	what the motion is?
5	CHAIRMAN STEEN: The motion to designate a race
6	date application period to begin on September 25, 2017,
7	through October 24, 2017, to all racetracks to apply for
8	race dates in calendar 2018 and the first months first
9	eight months of 2019.
10	MR. FENNER: Motion was made by Martin and
11	Mach?
12	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Martin, seconded by Mach. Any
13	further discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN STEEN: I will take this up for a
16	vote. All in favor please signify by saying aye.
17	(A chorus of ayes.)
18	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Any opposed?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN STEEN: Motion carries. In the
21	interest of time, we are going to skip over Item VI,
22	Proceedings on Drug Testing Matters; Item VII, Executive
23	Session we have already undertaken.
24	Item VIII, Scheduling of the Next Commission
25	meeting: Our next meeting will be moved to the week of

November 6. This will allow us to review and possibly 1 2 adopt the fee rule changes in time for them to be effective by December 1. 3 The time is now 1:04 p.m. With all business 4 5 concluded, we are now adjourned. Thank you. 6 (Whereupon, at 1:04 p.m. the meeting was adjourned.) 7 8 9 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript 10 from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings 11 produced by me in the above-entitled matter. 12 13 14 /s/ Nancy H. King 10/04/2017 Certified Electronic Reporter 15 Date 16 AAERT No. CET-153